# HYDROGEN PRODUCITON CHARACERISTICS FROM METHANOL AUTOTHERMAL REFORMING IN SPRAYS

Wei-Hsin Chen<sup>1, 2, \*</sup>, Yu-Qi Su<sup>1</sup>, Bo-Jhih Lin<sup>1</sup>

1 Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 701, Taiwan 2 Research Center for Energy Technology and Strategy, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 701, Taiwan

\*E-mail of the corresponding author: <u>weihsinchen@gmail.com</u>; <u>chenwh@mail.ncku.edu.tw</u>

#### ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to perform autothermal reforming (ATR) of methanol by sprays via the h-BN Pt catalyst with cold start for the hydrogen production. The present works mainly focus on the effects of operating conditions on hydrogen production and methanol conversion. Meanwhile, the comparison between ATR and partial oxidation of methanol (POM) are also carried out. The results of POM indicate that the highest H<sub>2</sub> and CO concentrations are obtained at  $O_2/C$  (molar ratio of air and methanol) = 0.7, and the  $CH_3OH$  conversion can reach 100%. In the ATR process, the methanol conversion reaches 60% under O<sub>2</sub>/C ratio =0.7 with S/C (molar ratio of steam and methanol) = 1.5. At S/C = 0.5, the CH<sub>3</sub>OH conversion increases with increasing the  $O_2/C$  ratio and is up to 100%, and the  $H_2$  yield is higher than that of POM. The highest H<sub>2</sub> yield from ATR is 1.697 mol (mol CH<sub>3</sub>OH)-1 occurs at S/C =0.5 and O<sub>2</sub>/C=0.7.

**Keywords:** Autothermal reforming (ATR), Sprays, Platinum (Pt) catalysts, Hydrogen production

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Hydrogen extraction technologies from methanol include thermochemical reactions like methanol decomposition (MD), steam reforming (SR), partial oxidation of methanol (POM), and autothermal reforming (ATR). MD, an endothermic reaction, is a direct decomposition of methanol to produce hydrogen and carbon monoxide without the need for other additives however, coke easily forms on the catalyst surface during the reaction [1]. SR, also an endothermic reaction, adds steam to the reaction. It has better hydrogen production but requires heat addition as well [2]. POM involves oxygen addition during the reaction since oxygen can help methanol to partially burn and ignite the reaction quickly. It is an exothermic reaction so, it does not require the consumption of heat [3]. ATR of methanol proceeds with steam and oxygen, like a combination of SR and POM [4], and improves the shortcomings of both parties. POM has a relatively low hydrogen yield and SR needs relatively high energy consumption, but with ATR, these shortcomings are addressed at the same time MD is expressed as

$$CH_3OH \rightleftharpoons 2H_2 + CO, \ \Delta H^0_{298}$$
(1)  
= 90.5 kI mol<sup>-1</sup>

SR is expressed as

CH<sub>3</sub>OH + 
$$H_2$$
O ⇒ 3H<sub>2</sub> + CO<sub>2</sub>,  $\Delta H_{298}^0$  (2)  
= 49.5 kI mol<sup>-1</sup>

POM is expressed as

CH<sub>3</sub>OH + 0.5O<sub>2</sub> ≈ 2H<sub>2</sub> + CO<sub>2</sub>, 
$$\Delta H^0_{298}$$
 (3)  
= -192.3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>

ATR is expressed as

CH<sub>3</sub>OH + (1 − n)
$$H_2$$
O + 0.5nO<sub>2</sub> (4)  
 $\Rightarrow$  (3 − n)H<sub>2</sub> + CO<sub>2</sub>,  
 $\Delta H_{298}^0 = -192.3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ 

In ATR reaction, methanol reacts with steam like SR, a strong endothermic reaction, and adding oxygen like POM, an exothermic reaction that balances the energy consumed by the endothermic reaction [5, 6], along with change in  $O_2/C$  ratio that helps to determine whether thermo-neutral or exothermic to ease the problem on energy consumption and speeds up the reaction as well [7].

Selection and peer-review under responsibility of the scientific committee of the 11th Int. Conf. on Applied Energy (ICAE2019). Copyright © 2019 ICAE

Recently, the review of the literature indicates that sprays are being used on POM, wherein a nozzle is used in the reactor, gas is added while injecting methanol, and a high-speed gas is flushed out of the nozzle together with methanol [8]. During the process, methanol is broken up into numerous fine particles, and reacts better with the catalyst resulting to faster response time and increased performance of POM by 30%. Therefore, sprays can also be fully integrated into the ATR system, effectively improving the performance of ATR. This study aims to use ATR on sprays to determine if there will be better hydrogen yield. The catalyst to be used is  $Pt/Al_2O_3$ , in which 0.2 wt% of precious metal Pt is added. The advantages of using Pt is that it can react at normal temperatures, eliminating the preheating part of the experiment, and the Pt content is also lower therefore, cost is saved. In the literature review, most of the ATR uses Cu-based catalysts, but since Cu has poor stability at temperatures above 300 °C, it is commonly used as a substrate to combine different chemicals and produce new varieties of catalysts to improve stability [9, 10]. However, this study will use Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst to explore its suitability for ATR. In addition, the experiment will analyze the performance of ATR by altering steam to methanol molar ratio and oxygen to methanol molar ratio.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Reaction system

The entire system for ATR of methanol could be divided into four different sections, including the feeding unit, reaction unit, product gas treatment unit and gas analysis unit. The feeding unit includes a syringe pump (Chemyx Fusion 200) and electric two flow rate controllers (KD-4000), control the flow rate of methanol and water using the syringe pump and control the flow rate of air and nitrogen using flow rate controllers, the gas flow rate value was displayed on the controller readout (Brooks 5850E), and the two streams of air and N<sub>2</sub> were mixed in a gas mixer. In the reaction unit, a quartz tube and a concentric tube have nozzle were installed, the characteristics about nozzle of concentric tube is using high-speed gas to Spray methanol and water, the guartz tube was wrapped with refractory wool to minimize heat loss from the ATR. The K-type thermocouple was placed in the catalyst bed to measure the reaction temperature. Install a layer of glass beads 1.5 cm high below the catalyst bed to adjust the height of the catalyst bed in the tube. In order to remove moisture in the product gas, a condenser (YIHDER, BL710) and a dryer were included in the gas treatment unit. The gas analysis unit includes a gas analyzer (GA, Fuji ZRJF5Y23-AERYR-YKLYYCY-A) and a gas chromatograph (GC, SRI 8610C) to measure carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, methane, and hydrogen gas concentrations.

Methanol conversion is calculated by:

$$= \left(\frac{\dot{n}_{CO_2,out} + \dot{n}_{CO,out} + \dot{n}_{CH_4,out}}{\dot{n}_{CH_3OH,in}}\right) \times 100$$
(5)

The H<sub>2</sub> concentration will be converted to molar flow rate and calculation of hydrogen yield:

 $H_2$  yield (mol/mol CH<sub>3</sub>OH)

$$= \left(\frac{\dot{n}_{H_2}}{\dot{n}_{CH_3OH}}\right)$$
(6)

### 2.2 Experimental procedure

In the operating conditions, it was mainly divided into two parts, ATR and pom. The parts of ATR were the steam to methanol molar ratio (S/C), the oxygen to methanol molar ratio  $(O_2/C)$  and the gas hourly space velocity (GHSV), part of the pom had a molar ratio of oxygen to methanol  $(O_2/C)$ . In the ATR section, methanol and water were first mixed into a 100 ml syringe in a ratio of S/C ratios of 0, 0.5, 1 and 1.5, and injected at a flow rate of 1 cm<sup>3</sup>/min (at room temperature), the flow rates of air and nitrogen would be adjusted according to  $O_2/C$ ratios of 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8 and GHSV=10000h<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Therefore, the air and nitrogen with a flow rate of 3805ml / min were adjusted according to the above  $O_2/C$  ratio. In the pom section, the methanol flow rate was fixed at 1 cm<sup>3</sup>/min, the  $O_2/C$  ratio was 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8 and the GHSV was fixed at 10,000h<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Performances of POM at various $O_2/C$

**Fig. 1** shows temporal distributions of  $CH_3OH$  conversion and temperature from POM reaction under the operation conditions of  $O_2/C=0.5$ -0.8 and GHSV=10000 h<sup>-1</sup>. The results find that the interval of CH<sub>3</sub>OH conversion and temperature are on 89 to 100 percent and 437 °C to 658 °C, respectively. The whole experiment takes 15 min from room temperature to steady state temperature, and then maintaining stable temperature until the finish of experiment. From this observation, the h-BN-Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst demonstrates very good performance to trigger at cold start. In **Fig. 1a** and **Fig. 1b**, the CH<sub>3</sub>OH conversion and temperature

increase along with the increase of  $O_2/C$  ratio, and it is mainly attributed to the increasing of oxygen concentration can enhance the exothermal reaction during POM to increase and reaction temperature. As shown in **Fig. 1a**, the methanol conversion is achieve 100% when the  $O_2/C$  ratio is as higher as 0.7.

Profiles of POM reaction with different  $O_2/C$  ratios to produce  $H_2$  are shown in **Fig. 2**. The  $H_2$  yield of is about 1.2-1.3 (mol/mol CH<sub>3</sub>OH). The  $H_2$  yield increases with increasing of  $O_2/C$ , but it is decrease at the  $O_2/C$  ratio = 0.8. In **Fig. 1a**, CH<sub>3</sub>OH conversion is 100% when the  $O_2/C$ ratio at 0.7. The addition oxygen (higher  $O_2/C$  ration) will not enhance the  $H_2$  production. The decreasing of  $H_2$ yield is mainly attributed to the methanol combustion reaction [11], tending dramatically with increasing of  $O_2/C$  ratio. Because of the combustion, the  $H_2$  turns water, and the  $H_2$  yield is further decreased.

### 3.2 Effect of S/C rations on ATR

In the investigations of autothermal reforming, the S/C ratio and  $O_2/C$  ratio are the crucial operating conditions. For this reason, the three-axis distribution diagrams of methanol conversion, reaction temperature, and H<sub>2</sub> yield are inspected under the operating conditions of S/C and  $O_2/C$  ratio in Fig. 3. The methanol conversion and reaction temperature are in the range of 35-100% and 225-658 °C, respectively. Fig. 3a and Fig. 3b show the methanol conversion and reaction temperature have similar trends. These observations are owning to that the reaction temperature is effected from the exothermic reaction during autothermal reforming. According to Fig. 3b, it is discovered that the reaction temperature is decreased with increasing the S/C ratio. This is mainly due to the endothermic reaction of steam reforming is more dramatic, when the proportion of water increase in the experiments. Therefore, the process of the experiment should apply more heat for ATR of methanol to avoid the incomplete reaction. On the other hand, the exothermic reaction of ATR of methanol can be assisted by increasing the  $O_2/C$  ratio.

In **Fig. 3c**, it is found that the highest hydrogen yield is observed at the operation of S/C=0.5 and  $O_2/C=0.7$ . At higher  $O_2/C$  ratio ( $O_2/C=0.8$ ), the hydrogen yield is decreased from the methanol combustion. Additionally, once the reaction temperature is too low, the ATR of methanol cannot complete reaction, resulting in the decreasing of the hydrogen productivity.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

The h-BN-Pt/Al $_2O_3$  catalyst has been employed to trigger POM (partial oxidation of methanol) and ATR in

this study. The experiments suggest that ATR can be triggered at room temperature with h-BN-Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst by sprays. If S/C ratio is too high and O<sub>2</sub>/C ratio is too low, the reaction will become incomplete. On the contrary, if S/C ratio is too low and O<sub>2</sub>/C ratio is too high, reaction will be dominated by methanol combustion. When the methanol conversion reaches 100%, the hydrogen yield from ATR is higher than POM by sprays over cold start. The trend of methanol conversion and reaction temperature is similar, indicating that the performance of the reaction is inseparable from the reaction temperature.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST 108-2221-E-006-127-MY3), Taiwan, R.O.C, for this study.

### REFERENCE

 Wei P, Xia W, Li JZ, Long H, Chen J, Li T, et al. Singlephase Ni3Sn alloy alkali-leached for hydrogen production from methanol decomposition. Renewable Energy. 2015;78:357-63.
 Zheng T, Zhou W, Yu W, Ke Y, Liu Y, Liu R, et al. Methanol steam reforming performance optimisation of cylindrical microreactor for hydrogen production utilising error backpropagation and genetic algorithm. Chemical Engineering Journal. 2019;357:641-54.

[3] Chen W-H, Shen C-T. Partial oxidation of methanol over a Pt/Al2O3 catalyst enhanced by sprays. Energy. 2016;106:1-12.
[4] Sepehri S, Rezaei M, Wang Y, Younesi A, Arandiyan H. The evaluation of autothermal methane reforming for hydrogen production over Ni/CeO2 catalysts. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy. 2018;43:22340-6.

[5] Richards N, Needels J, Erickson P. Autothermalreformation enhancement using a stratified-catalyst technique. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy. 2017;42:25914-23.

[6] Luneau M, Gianotti E, Meunier FC, Mirodatos C, Puzenat E, Schuurman Y, et al. Deactivation mechanism of Ni supported on Mg-Al spinel during autothermal reforming of model biogas. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental. 2017;203:289-99.

[7] Chen W-H, Lin B-J. Hydrogen production and thermal behavior of methanol autothermal reforming and steam reforming triggered by microwave heating. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy. 2013;38:9973-83.

[8] Chen W-H, Guo Y-Z. Hydrogen production characteristics of methanol partial oxidation under sprays with ultra-low Pt and Pd contents in catalysts. Fuel. 2018;222:599-609.

[9] Jampa S, Jamieson AM, Chaisuwan T, Luengnaruemitchai A, Wongkasemjit S. Achievement of hydrogen production from autothermal steam reforming of methanol over Cu-loaded mesoporous CeO2 and Cu-loaded mesoporous CeO2–ZrO2

catalysts. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy. 2017;42:15073-84.

[10] Iruretagoyena D, Hellgardt K, Chadwick D. Towards autothermal hydrogen production by sorption-enhanced water gas shift and methanol reforming: A thermodynamic analysis. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy. 2018;43:4211-22.
[11] Yang J, Liu Y, Deng J, Xie S, Hou Z, Zhao X, et al. PtxCo/meso-MnOy: Highly efficient catalysts for low-



Fig. 1. Temporal distributions of (a)  $CH_3OH$  conversion and (b) Temperature of POM at various  $O_2/C$  ratios.

temperature methanol combustion. Catalysis Today. 2019;332:168-76.



**Fig. 2.** Profiles of  $H_2$  yield of POM at various  $O_2/C$  ratios.



**Fig. 3.** Three dimensional profile of (a) CH<sub>3</sub>OH conversion, (b) Temperature, and (c) H<sub>2</sub> yield of ATR with various S/C ratios and O<sub>2</sub>/C ratios.