# Membrane-and-Cryogenic-Assisted Hydrogen Separation and Purification Process

Ahmad Naquash<sup>a,1</sup>, Muhammad Abdul Qyyum<sup>a,1</sup>, Yus Donald Chaniago<sup>b</sup>, Amjad Riaz<sup>a</sup>, Noman Raza Sial<sup>a</sup>, Muhammad Islam<sup>a</sup>, Seongwoong Min<sup>a</sup>, Hankwon Lim<sup>b</sup>, Moonyong Lee<sup>a, \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Chemical Engineering, Yeungnam University, Gyeongsan, South Korea

<sup>b</sup> School of Energy and Chemical Engineering, Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology, 50 UNIST-gil, Eonyang-eup, Ulju-gun, Ulsan 44919, Republic of Korea \*(Corresponding Author: mynlee@yu.ac.kr)

# ABSTRACT

Hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) is a clean energy carrier that has the potential to reduce carbon emissions. Currently, H<sub>2</sub> is being produced from fossil fuels. The major drawback of fossil-based H<sub>2</sub> production is the production of CO<sub>2</sub> and other impurities along with it. H<sub>2</sub> rich syngas has gained attention recently. In syngas, H<sub>2</sub> is the main component along with carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, and nitrogen. To separate and purify H<sub>2</sub>, the pressure swing adsorption (PSA) method is adopted. PSA can produce high purity H<sub>2</sub> but with low recovery. In this study, membrane and cryogenic distillation-based separation methods are analyzed and evaluated for the separation and purification of H<sub>2</sub> from syngas. The cryogenic process achieved high H<sub>2</sub> purity (99.999%) with high recovery (99.999%), yet the major challenge is high energy consumption (2.53 kWh/kgFeed). The membrane process, on the other hand, consumes less energy (0.88 kWh/kgFeed) but produces  $H_2$  with low purity (98.85%) and recovery (89.91%). The economic analysis of these processes showed that the membrane process is costeffective with less TCI (34.36 m\$) than the cryogenic process (38.21 m\$).

**Keywords:** Syngas, organic Rankine cycle, cryogenic distillation,  $CO_2$  solidification, membrane process, hydrogen

#### NOMENCLATURE

Abbreviations		
PSA	pressure swing adsorption	
PEMFC	Proton exchange membrane fuel cell	
VLE	Vapor liquid equilibrium	
WGS	Water gas shift	

MITA	Minimum internal temperature	
	approach	
TCI	Total capital investment	

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Due to ever-increasing world energy demand and carbon emissions, the use of clean energy fuels is increasing. Hydrogen is one of the clean energy fuels that have the potential to reduce carbon emissions and produce energy [1]. Currently, hydrogen is largely being produced from natural gas (NG) through the steam methane reforming process and from coal through the gasification process [2]. These sources of hydrogen production i.e., fossil fuels are the major source of carbon emissions. Renewable sources such as biomassbased are gaining attention owing to low carbon emissions. Therefore, hydrogen-rich syngas produced from biomass has been considered as a game-changer in shifting towards clean fuels.

Hydrogen is the major component in syngas along with impurities such as carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), and nitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>). The impurities must be removed before hydrogen utilization. Conventionally, hydrogen purification is carried out through the pressure swing adsorption (PSA) process. The PSA process purifies hydrogen (up to 99.999% [3]) by adsorbing impurities in a high-pressure column. When the adsorbent is saturated, it is desorbed by lowering the pressure of the column removing the impurities. The major limitation of PSA is low hydrogen recovery (up to 70% [4]). The other technologies such as membrane and cryogenic can be explored to achieve high purity with high recovery. Recently, a review was published by Bernardo et al. [5] on membrane-based separation processes for hydrogen

Selection and peer-review under responsibility of the scientific committee of the 13<sub>th</sub> Int. Conf. on Applied Energy (ICAE2021). Copyright © 2021 ICAE

separation and purification. They have analyzed carbon molecular sieve membranes (CMSM), ionic liquid-based membranes (ILM), Palladium based membranes (PM), and electro chemical-based membranes. CMSM have the limitation of brittles and vulnerability to humidity which limits its commercial applications. ILM is relatively new and has a large potential to overcome CMSM limitations. PM membranes are new and expensive. Other catalystbased membranes can provide high selectivity and high H<sub>2</sub> flux, but the cost is high. They conclude that the membranes have the potential to produce high purity  $H_2$ (up to 99.97%) at a low cost [5]. The cryogenic distillation process for the separation and purification of H<sub>2</sub> has not been evaluated much mainly because of very lowtemperature operation due to the presence of H<sub>2</sub> with the boiling point of -251°C at 1 atm. Lin et al. [6] separated H<sub>2</sub> and liquefied synthetic natural gas through the distillation process. The maximum methane purity was reported as 99.99% from atmospheric distillation. However, they have not reported H<sub>2</sub> purity in the product [6]. Recently. a study was published by Asadnia et al. [7] in which a cryogenic distillation process was adopted to separate hydrogen from a mixture of hydrocarbons and nitrogen. They reported H<sub>2</sub> purity as 88.05% in the final product [7].

Membrane and cryogenic distillation based  $H_2$ separation and purification processes have the potential to produce high purity  $H_2$  with high recovery, yet this potential have not been explored much. In this study, a membrane and  $CO_2$  anti-sublimation assisted cryogenic distillation process is proposed to separate and purify  $H_2$ from syngas. The proposed processes are evaluated in terms of product purity, energy consumption, and process economics.

# 2. PROBLEM STATEMENT: CHALLENGES AND ISSUES IN HYDROGEN PURIFICATION

Hydrogen purification is a challenging issue mainly because high purity  $H_2$  with high recovery is required in certain applications. Liquid  $H_2$  production, Proton exchange membrane fuel cell and electronic industry strict the  $H_2$  purity specification at ultra-high purity [8]. Conventionally, the pressure swing adsorption method is adopted to produce high purity  $H_2$ . The major challenge in the PSA process is low recovery (~80% [5]). The other technologies such as membrane and cryogenic distillation process must be explored to study the purification and recovery of  $H_2$ . The distillation process involving vapor liquid equilibrium (VLE) can be exploited to obtain an extremely high purity of  $H_2$  [9]. This method relies on the relative volatility ( $\alpha$ ) of the mixture which indicates the degree of separation difficulty between the more volatile and less volatile components of a mixture. In the case recovery of H<sub>2</sub> from N<sub>2</sub>, CO and CO<sub>2</sub> mixture, owing quite significant difference in the boiling point of H<sub>2</sub> and adjacent component i.e., N<sub>2</sub>, make the system a candidate for VLE-based separation. However, due to the presence of H<sub>2</sub> in the mixture, the separation becomes challenging owing to very low-temperature operation. Further, the existing  $CO_2$  in the mixture, very cold temperature inducing to solidification of CO<sub>2</sub>. This is because the freezing temperature of CO<sub>2</sub> is far higher than H<sub>2</sub> boiling temperature at atmospheric pressure. To tackle this issue, CO<sub>2</sub> is firstly removed from the mixture through the anti-sublimation phenomenon. CO<sub>2</sub> is solidified in a specially designed chamber releasing CO<sub>2</sub>free product gas. After CO<sub>2</sub> removal, the remaining mixture can be separated by cryogenic distillation. The separation phenomenon is explained through a ternary diagram for H<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub> and CO, as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1 Ternary diagram of H<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>

According to Figure 1, to achieve high  $H_2$  purity at the top of the distillation column, the bottom composition comprises 0.775 N<sub>2</sub> and 0.225 CO. Another, major challenge in VLE-based separation i.e., distillation is high energy consumption owing to low-temperature operation.

The membrane process, on the other hand, can also be used to separate and purify hydrogen. The major challenge in the membrane process is achieving high purity with high recovery. The membrane-based gas permeation capability to separate two gases are determined by the ratio of their permeabilities or the membrane selectivity  $(\alpha_{ij})$ ; for example, the ratio for H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> can be presented in equation 1 [10].

$$\alpha_{H_2/CO_2} = \frac{P_{H_2}}{P_{CO_2}} = \left[\frac{D_{H_2}}{D_{CO_2}}\right] \left[\frac{K_{H_2}}{K_{CO_2}}\right]$$
(1)

The ratio of two gases diffusion coefficients  $D_{H_2}/D_{CO_2}$  is decided by the relative sizes of the

components. Thus, the smaller molecule permeation is always faster in comparison to larger elements. The ratio  $K_{H_2}/K_{CO_2}$  is the ratio of sorption coefficient that allows the sorption of the more condensable component. Accordingly, the mobility and sorption selectivity effect on the separation  $H_2$  and  $CO_2$  is not uniform. The selection of membrane material type is important. The mobility and selectivity terms rely upon the polymer type [11]. Because of H<sub>2</sub> molecule is smaller than CO<sub>2</sub> and the normal boiling point H<sub>2</sub> is lower than CO<sub>2</sub> [12]. H<sub>2</sub> permeance is faster than CO<sub>2</sub> in the glassy polymer but slower than CO<sub>2</sub> in the rubbery polymer membrane. Other components in the mixture are N<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O. In the rubbery polymer, the permeability of N<sub>2</sub> is somewhere near H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O is the fastest among the mixture. In this study, due to low composition the component beside H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>, the remaining components permeability are assumed. N<sub>2</sub> permeability is similar to H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O permeability is faster than CO<sub>2</sub> and CO are rejected into retentate.

#### 3. PROCESS DESCRIPTION AND SIMULATION

The membrane and cryogenic distillation processes are simulated in Aspen Hysys<sup>®</sup> v11. Peng-Robinson [13] is used as an equation of state to calculate thermodynamic properties. The feed composition and conditions, taken from [14], are presented in Table 1. The following important assumptions are taken to simulate the process:

- There is no heat loss to the surroundings.
- There is no pressure drop in exchangers.
- The minimum approach temperature approach (MITA) in multi-stream exchangers is ~3.0°C.
- The compressors are expanders efficiencies are taken as 80 and 85 %, respectively.

Table 1 Syngas feed conditions and composition	[14	1
	L	а.

Feed composition	Mole%
Carbon monoxide	24.18
Carbon dioxide	0.57
Nitrogen	0.74
Hydrogen	74.51
Feed conditions	
Temperature (°C)	25
Pressure (bar)	31.01
Flow rate (kg/s)	32.52

Initially, the CO is converted into  $H_2$  through water gas shift (WGS) reaction (as shown in equation 2) in high

temperature and low-temperature shift converters.  $CO_2$  is produced alongside  $H_2$ .

$$CO + H_2O = CO_2 + H_2$$
 (2)

The WGS product is further purified to get high purity  $H_2$  product. The details of the membrane process and cryogenic distillation process are provided in sections 3.1 and 3.2, respectively.

# 3.1 Membrane process

Besides many applications of the membrane in gas processing,  $H_2$  and  $CO_2$  separation is one common application. Membrane modules separate gases through permeate and retentate. Generally, the membrane scheme is represented by two steps and two stages [11] as shown in Figure 2 (a).

In this work, between two major components,  $H_2$ and  $CO_2$ , the  $H_2$  proportion is the highest in the mixture, and the  $CO_2$  selective membrane is selected for separation [15]. In industrial membrane application, the spiral wound module is one of the most common membrane modules for the separation of  $CO_2$  [16]. The Spiral wound module is modelled following a cross-flow pattern [17] which approximates the actual spiral wound membrane separator. The transport mechanism of gas permeation in the dense membrane can be described by the solution-diffusion model [11,18] by equation 3.

$$J_{i} = \frac{D_{i}K_{i}^{G}}{l}(p_{io} - p_{il}) = \frac{P_{i}^{G}}{l}(p_{io} - p_{il})$$
(3)

where  $J_i$  (m<sup>3</sup>(STP)/m<sup>2</sup> h) is the flux of the gas component *i*,  $D_i$  is the membrane diffusion coefficient (cm<sup>2</sup>/s) of component *i*,  $K_i^G$  is the sorption coefficient (cm<sup>3</sup>(STP)/cm<sup>3</sup> cm·Hg) of component *i*, *l* is the membrane thickness,  $p_{io}$  and  $p_{il}$  are the partial pressures of component *i* on either side of the membrane (surface *o* and *l*), and  $P_i^G$  is the gas-phase permeability coefficient.

To maximize the separation, three membrane modules of the spiral wound are applied by combining the configuration of a two-step and two-stage membrane. Due to the membrane is  $CO_2$  selective, a two-step membrane is utilized to recover  $H_2$  through retentate. Aspen custom modeler (ACM) is used to model the membrane. The permeability values are  $H_2 = 74$  and  $CO_2 = 814$  barrer with  $CO_2/H_2$  mixed gas selectivity is 11.1 [15].

#### 3.2 Cryogenic process

Distillation based separations based on differing the  $\alpha$  and phase behavior are relatively simple and widely adopted in the gas industry. A larger difference in  $\alpha$  signify easier separation [19,20]. The rough estimation of

 $\alpha$  in an ideal gas is defined through the derivation of Clapeyron's equation (4) [21],

$$\ln \alpha_{ij} \approx e^{\beta(T_{bj}-T_{bi})/T_B}$$
(4)  
where  
$$\beta = \frac{\Delta H^{vap}}{RT_B} ; \qquad T_B = \sqrt{T_{bi}T_{bj}}$$
(5)

where  $\Delta H_{vap}$ , *R*, *i*, *j*, *T*<sub>bi</sub>, and *T*<sub>bj</sub> are the specific heat of vaporization, universal gas constant, component *i*, component *j*, boiling point component *i*, and boiling point component *j*, respectively. From equation 4, the rough estimation of  $\alpha$  is equivalent to the difference of the boiling point difference, the greater boiling point different from unity, the greater is  $\alpha$ . The large  $\alpha$  values in the mixture imply that a simple separation is applicable. Reversely, if the value of  $\alpha$  is almost unity, a more complex separation method is required.

The product from WGS is sent to water removal prior to cryogenic distillation to avoid any potential freezing of water. The water is removed through Tri-ethylene Glycol (TEG) process. The dry product gas from the TEG unit is sent to the cryogenic distillation unit. The major challenge in this process is CO2 removal through cryogenic distillation because of the presence of a large amount of H<sub>2</sub> (Boiling point: -251°C). The distillation column operates at a very low temperature which is subject to the freezing of CO<sub>2</sub> in the column. To avoid this phenomenon, a unique separation method is adopted i.e., anti-sublimation. It separates CO<sub>2</sub> in solidified form. The solidification of CO<sub>2</sub> is also applied and validated for biogas upgrading process [22]. The temperature and pressure conditions of CO2 solidifications are calculated from CO<sub>2</sub> phase diagram. A unique cold box phenomenon is simulated, and solid CO<sub>2</sub> is removed from the inlet gas mixture while the product gas including H<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub> and CO is removed from the top. This H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>/CO mixture is separated in a cryogenic distillation unit with ultra-high purity H<sub>2</sub> (99.999%) and high recovery (99.999%). The process diagram is shown in Figure 2 (b).



Figure 2 Syngas derived hydrogen separation and purification through (a) membrane process and (b) cryogenic distillation process

# 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 Energy analysis

Energy analysis is the major term to discuss within the compared scenarios for hydrogen separation. Two uniquely classified processes have integrated with modern energy-intensive processes to recover energy and get benefitted in both ways. The energy analysis of both proposed technologies is conducted. It is analyzed that the membrane technology is less energy-consuming in comparison to the cryogenic separation process, specified for the syngas feed stream as shown in Figure 3.





On the contrary, it would be biased if SEC is the only criteria of analysis. Although the membrane process is less energy-intensive, its recovery and purity of product  $H_2$  is 89.91% and 98.85% which is less than the cryogenic process having 100% and 99.99%, respectively. Compared to cryogenic, the membrane separation process is an energy-saving process, However, there is still large potential available in terms of product purity and recovery.

#### 4.2 Economic analysis

The economic analysis is conducted to evaluate and compare the proposed cases with the base case. In this study, Guthrie's method (module costing technique) is adopted for economic evaluation [23]. This module costing method is commonly used in estimating the cost of a new chemical plant [23]. Figure 4 shows a TCI comparison between cryogenic and membrane-based technologies. In the cryogenic process, TCI was found to be \$38.21M. Compressors contributed the most (52.3%), whereas the heat exchangers contributed the least only 0.4%. Compressors' cost was more owing to the larger compression requirement to attain low temperatures. In contrast, membrane-based process is on the cheaper side. In the membrane process, the compression process is the major contributor in TCI followed by membrane unit.



**Figure 4** Comparison of membrane and cryogenic processes in terms of TCI.

#### 5. CONCLUSIONS

Conventionally,  $H_2$  separation and purification from syngas has been carried out through the PSA process. PSA process ensures high purity of  $H_2$  but with low recovery. In this study, two unconventional methods have been adopted to analyze  $H_2$  separation and purification. The membrane process consumes less energy with less investment cost as compared to the cryogenic process. Nevertheless, the purity and recovery of  $H_2$  through the membrane process is less than the cryogenic process. In conclusion, the cryogenic process can be adopted to achieve high purity  $H_2$  (99.999%) with high recovery (99.999%) but at an expense of high energy i.e., 2.53 kWh/kgFeed.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (2021R1A2C1092152) and by Priority Research Centers Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2014R1A6A1031189). This work was also supported by "Human Resources Program in Energy Technology" of the Korea Institute of Energy Technology Evaluation and Planning (KETEP), granted financial resource from the Ministry of Trade, Industry & Energy, Republic of Korea. (No. 20204010600100).

# REFERENCE

- Zhang X, Zhou Y, Jia X, Feng Y, Dang Q. Multi-Criteria Optimization of a Biomass-Based Hydrogen Production System Integrated With Organic Rankine Cycle. Front Energy Res 2020;8:1–13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2020.584215.
- [2] IEA. The Future of Hydrogen. 2019.
- [3] Voldsund M, Jordal K, Anantharaman R. Hydrogen production with CO2 capture. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2016;41:4969–92.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2016.01.009.

- [4] Li B, He G, Jiang X, Dai Y, Ruan X. Pressure swing adsorption/membrane hybrid processes for hydrogen purification with a high recovery. Front Chem Sci Eng 2016;10:255–64. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11705-016-1567-1.
- [5] Bernardo G, Araújo T, da Silva Lopes T, Sousa J, Mendes A. Recent advances in membrane technologies for hydrogen purification. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2020;45:7313–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.06.162.
- [6] Lin W, Xu J, Zhang L, Gu A. Synthetic natural gas (SNG) liquefaction processes with hydrogen separation. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2017;42:18417–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2017.04.141.
- [7] Aasadnia M, Mehrpooya M, Ghorbani B. A novel integrated structure for hydrogen purification using the cryogenic method. J Clean Prod 2021;278:123872. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123872.
- [8] Yang, Yanmei, Wang, Geng, Zhang, Lan, Zhang, Sinan, Lin, Ling. Comparison of Hydrogen Specification in National Standards for China. E3S Web Conf 2019;118:3042.

https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/201911803042.

- [9] Qyyum MA, Chaniago YD, Ali W, Qadeer K, Lee M. Coal to clean energy: Energy-efficient single-loop mixedrefrigerant-based schemes for the liquefaction of synthetic natural gas. J Clean Prod 2019;211:574–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.11.233.
- [10] Lokhandwala KA, Pinnau I, He Z, Amo KD, DaCosta AR, Wijmans JG, et al. Membrane separation of nitrogen from natural gas: A case study from membrane synthesis to commercial deployment. J Memb Sci 2010;346:270–9.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEMSCI.2009.09.046.

- [11] Qyyum MA, Chaniago YD, Ali W, Saulat H, Lee M. Membrane-assisted removal of hydrogen and nitrogen from synthetic natural gas for energy-efficient liquefaction. Energies 2020;13. https://doi.org/10.3390/en13195023.
- [12] Orme CJ, Klaehn JR, Harrup MK, Luther TA, Peterson ES, Stewart FF. Gas permeability in rubbery

polyphosphazene membranes. J Memb Sci 2006;280:175–84.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEMSCI.2006.01.009.

- [13] Peng D, Robinson DB. A new equation of state. Nature 1929;123:507.
- [14] Zhang Q, Guo X, Yao X, Cao Z, Sha Y, Chen B, et al. Modeling, simulation, and systematic analysis of hightemperature adiabatic fixed-bed process of CO methanation with novel catalysts. Appl Energy 2020;279:115822.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.115822.
[15] Barillas MK, Enick RM, O'Brien M, Perry R, Luebke DR, Morreale BD. The CO2 permeability and mixed gas CO2/H2 selectivity of membranes composed of CO2philic polymers. J Memb Sci 2011;372:29–39. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.201

1.01.028.

- [16] Wang Z, Dong S, Li N, Cao X, Sheng M, Xu R, et al. Chapter 3 - CO2-Selective Membranes: How Easy Is Their Moving From Laboratory to Industrial Scale? In: Basile A, Favvas EPBT-CT and FD on (Bio-) M, editors., Elsevier; 2018, p. 75–102. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813645-4.00003-9.
- [17] Scott K. INTRODUCTION TO MEMBRANE SEPARATIONS. In: Scott KBT-H of IM, editor., Amsterdam: Elsevier Science; 1995, p. 3–185. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-185617233-2/50004-0.
- [18] Baker RW, Wijmans JG, Huang Y. Permeability, permeance and selectivity: A preferred way of reporting pervaporation performance data. J Memb Sci 2010;348:346–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEMSCI.2009.11.022.
- [19] Liu G, Jobson M, Smith R, Wahnschafft OM. Shortcut Design Method for Columns Separating Azeotropic Mixtures. Ind Eng Chem Res 2004;43:3908–23. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie030678y.
- [20] Chaniago YD, Lee M. Distillation design and optimization of quaternary azeotropic mixtures for waste solvent recovery. J Ind Eng Chem 2018;67:255– 65. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JIEC.2018.06.036.
- [21] Halvorsen IJ. Minimum Energy Requirements in Complex Distillation Arrangements. 2001.
- [22] Naquash A, Qyyum MA, Haider J, Lim H, Lee M. Renewable LNG production: Biogas upgrading through CO2 solidification integrated with single-loop mixed refrigerant biomethane liquefaction process. Energy Convers Manag 2021;243:114363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2021.114363.
- [23] Turton R, Bailie RC, Whiting WB, Shaeiwitz JA. Analysis, synthesis and design of chemical processes. Pearson Education; 2008.