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#### Abstract

The sustainable deterioration of the climate caused by continuous global warming poses a serious threat to human survival. Carbon Capture Utilization and Storage (CCUS) is a potential and effective technology to alleviate global warming. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pipeline transportation is an economical and safety way to connect other parts in the CCUS system. It is essential to understand the characteristics of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ release and hazards and these are essential to the design and arrangement of pipeline. Existent $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pipeline release experimental studies lack of repeatable verification tests, especially those seriously affected by the environment, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ far-field diffusion research, for example. It is necessary to guarantee the same initial conditions between different repetitive tests. In this paper, the equal density principle for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pipeline release tests was summed up based on thermal process before release operation. A computational model to calculate the charging mass and phase state of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to achieve a specific initial pressure and temperature inside pipeline for release test was established, using MTLAB software. The Span-Wagner (SW) equation of state was applied to calculate the thermodynamic properties of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The initial pressure, initial temperature, and inventory in authors' previous studies are presented. By comparing the experimental data and the calculated results, the model has good predictive ability. Larger $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ mass for the injection operation is the result of lower initial temperature or greater initial pressure. The numerical model provides convenience to improve accuracy for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ release tests.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Carbon Capture, Utilization and Storage (CCUS) is one latest technology to deal with global warming (Rodrigues et al., 2022). CCUS is a developing technology and every link face security challenge. In the CCUS system a significant number of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was captured from atmosphere or emission source and transported to specific areas to utilize or storage by pipeline(Balaji and Rabiei, 2022). However, several factors like pipelines corrosion, construction defects, mechanical damage, etc. may pose the leakage risk to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pipeline(Teng et al., 2021).

Han et al. (2013), Xie et al. (2014), Vree et al. (2015) and Yamasaki et al. (2017) conducted a number of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ decompression experiments. Existing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pipeline release experimental research, particularly those that are substantially impacted by the environment, such as $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ far-field diffusion study, need repeatable verification testing. The identical initial circumstances must be ensured during various repeating tests. This paper summarized the equal-density principle based on the test procedure in author's studies. A numerical model is established based on Span-Wagner (SW) state equation(Span and Wagner, 1996).

## 2. NUMERICAL MODELING

### 2.1 SW state equation

The Span-Wagner (SW) (Span and Wagner, 1996) equation of state is applied to calculate the thermodynamic property of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. It is widely used in the thermodynamic property calculation for many fluids because of high accuracy(Flechas et al., 2020; Lemmon and Span, 2006). The deviation function calculation method is adopted for $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{W}$ equation. The dimensionless Helmholtz energy for actual fluid $\varphi(\delta, \tau)$ is equal to the
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Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of industry-scale experimental apparatus.
sum of ideal-fluid behavior $\varphi^{0}(\delta, \tau)$ and residual fluid behavior $\varphi^{\top}(\delta, \tau)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi(\delta, \tau)=\varphi^{0}(\delta, \tau)+\varphi^{r}(\delta, \tau)  \tag{1}\\
\varphi^{0}(\delta, \tau)=\ln (\delta)+a_{1}^{0}+a_{2}^{0} \tau+a_{3}^{0} \ln (\tau)+ \\
\sum_{i=4}^{8} a_{i}^{0} \ln \left[1-\exp \left(-\tau \theta_{i}^{0}\right)\right]  \tag{2}\\
\varphi^{r}(\delta, \tau)=\sum_{i=1}^{7} n_{i} \delta^{d_{i}} \tau^{t_{i}}+\sum_{i=8}^{34} n_{i} \delta^{d_{i}} \tau^{t_{i}} e^{-\delta^{c_{i}}}+ \\
\sum_{i=35}^{39} n_{i} \delta^{d_{i}} \tau^{t_{i}} e^{-\alpha\left(\delta-\varepsilon_{i}\right)^{2}-\beta_{i}\left(\tau-\gamma_{i}\right)^{2}}+  \tag{3}\\
\sum_{i=40}^{42} n_{i} \Delta^{b_{i}} \delta e^{-c_{i}(\delta-1)^{2}-D_{i}(\tau-1)^{2}} \\
\Delta=\left\{(1-\tau)+A_{i}\left[(\delta-1)^{2}\right]^{1 /\left(2 \beta_{i}\right)}\right\}^{2}+  \tag{4}\\
B_{i}\left[(\delta-1)^{2}\right]^{a_{i}}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\delta=\rho / \rho_{c}$ is the reduced density and $\tau=T / T_{c}$ is the inverse reduced temperature. $\rho$ and $T$ are the density and temperature of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ respectively. $\rho_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $T_{\mathrm{c}}$ are the critical density and critical temperature of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ respectively. $A_{\mathrm{i}}, B_{\mathrm{i}}, c_{\mathrm{i}}, D_{\mathrm{i}}, a_{\mathrm{i}}, a_{\mathrm{i}}^{0}, b_{\mathrm{i}}, c_{\mathrm{i}}, d_{\mathrm{i}}, n_{\mathrm{i}}, \tau_{\mathrm{i}}, \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}, b_{\mathrm{i}}, \varphi_{\mathrm{i}}, \vartheta_{\mathrm{i}}^{0}$, and $\varepsilon_{i}$ are the fitting coefficients, as shown in APPENDIX A.

The relation of density to dimensionless Helmholtz energy consisting of and is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho(\delta, \tau) & =\frac{P}{R T\left(1+\delta \varphi_{\delta}^{r}\right)}  \tag{5}\\
\varphi_{\delta}^{r} & =\left(\frac{\partial \varphi^{r}}{\partial \delta}\right)_{\tau} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R$ is specific gas constant of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $P$ is the pressure of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The calculation methods of other thermodynamic parameters are described in detail by Span et al(Span and Wagner, 1996) and not mentioned in this study.

### 2.2 Equal-density principle

The industry-scale experimental apparatus included a 257 m long main pipeline, two injection pipes, heating
system and dual-disc blasting device. It is shown in Fig. 1. The inner diameter and thickness of main pipeline was 233 m and 20 mm respectively. The texture of main pipeline was 16 MnR steel. The two injection pipes on the one end of main pipeline were used to inject gas phase and liquid phase $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The dual-disc blasting device was on the release end of pipeline and the texture was 304 stainless steel. According to the pressure different, it will commence the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ release. The maximum operation pressure of the experimental apparatus is 16 MPa . There was a 1200 m long heating tape and a 50 mm thick cotton insulation around main pipeline tightly. They were used to heat $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to meet experimental initial condition. Based on the experimental design, a replaceable flange was used to install on the release end, which were 15 mm . Huge recoil generated during the release. Therefore, a reinforcing device was designed and installed at the release end of pipeline to protect whole experimental apparatus.

The test procedure in author's studies(Guo et al., 2016b, 2016a) shows that when the appropriate mass of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was added to the pipe, all inlet valves and venting valve were shut down. The total mass of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ inside the pipeline would not change before release. Besides, it is obvious that the volume of pipeline $(V)$ was not changed. The equal-density principle refers to the density of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ inside the pipeline remained equal throughout the period between finishing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ injection to release operation. Besides, before release operation, the initial pressure and initial temperature ( $P_{0}$ and $T_{0}$ ) inside the pipeline can determine the initial density ( $\rho_{0}$ ). Combined with the density and the volume of the pipe, the total mass of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ inside the pipeline before release ( $M_{\mathrm{re}}$ ) for the injection operation required to achieve the initial temperature and initial pressure can be calculated. Based on equal-density principle, the volume fraction of the saturated liquid phase and the saturated gas phase can be determined. And for the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ injection operation, the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was fed into the pipeline using a tank car with gas-liquid $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ of 2.2 MPa and $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(P_{\text {in }}\right.$ and $T_{\text {in }}$ ). The
density of saturation liquid and saturation gas ( $\rho_{\text {in-L }}$ and $\rho_{\text {in-G) }}$ can be calculated by $P_{\text {in }}$ and $T_{\text {in }}$. based on SW equation. Further, the required mass of saturation liquid ( $M_{\text {in-L }}$ ) and saturation gas ( $M_{\text {in-G }}$ ) can be determined combined with $M_{\mathrm{r}}$. The calculation flow chart of numerical model is Fig. 2, where $\alpha_{\text {in-G }}$ and $\alpha_{\text {in-L }}$ are the volume fraction of liquid $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and gas $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, respectively. $M_{\text {in }}$ is the total injection mass of liquid $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and gas $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for the injection operation.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Model verification

Table. 1 shows the temperature, pressure and the total mass of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ inside the pipeline before release


Fig. 2. Calculation flow chart of numerical model.
operation for Test 1-Test 9. The experimental initial conditions are presented in author's previous studies (Test 1-Test 6 in Guo et al. (2016a), Test 7-Test 9 in Guo et al. (2016b)). The inventory was obtained by weight difference of the tank car before and after injection operation. Table. 2 shows the calculation results for the injection operation for Test 1-Test 9 . In the numerical model, the density of saturation liquid $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and saturation gas $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ are $1016.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and $58.3 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, respectively. The total volume of pipeline is $10.96 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$. For Test 1 , the measure inventory of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was 0.97 tons. And the calculation injection mass at 2.2 MPa and $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is 0.95 tons with a volume fraction of saturation liquid $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for 0.032 . The required mass of saturation liquid $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is 0.34 tons. It is obvious that the calculation required inventory for injection operation is near equal with the measure inventory before release in the tests by comparing the data in all of the tests in Table. 1 and Table. 2. It can be concluded that once the initial temperature and pressure of the test are determined, the mass of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ required for the injection operation can be determined based on equal-density principle. It is
convenient to repeat a series of tests with the same initial conditions.

### 3.2 Case study

Fig. 3 shows the influence of initial pressure (7.5, 8.0, $8.5,9.0,9.5$ and 10.0 MPa before release) on total inventory, required mass of saturation liquid ( $M_{\text {in-L }}$ ) and
Table. 1 The temperature, pressure and the total mass of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ inside the pipeline before release operation for Test 1-Test 9 .

| No. | $P_{0}(\mathrm{MPa})$ | $T_{0}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Inventory (tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test 1 | 4.05 | 33.8 | 0.97 |
| Test 2 | 4.00 | 33.4 | 0.96 |
| Test 3 | 3.60 | 32.7 | 0.84 |
| Test 4 | 9.2 | 17.4 | 9.48 |
| Test 5 | 9.1 | 19.3 | 9.31 |
| Test 6 | 9.1 | 21.6 | 9.11 |
| Test 7 | 7.6 | 35.1 | 3.14 |
| Test 8 | 7.9 | 33.4 | 6.27 |
| Test 9 | 8.0 | 36.9 | 3.59 |

Table. 2 The numerical results after injection operation ( $2.2 \mathrm{MPa},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for Test 1-Test 9.

| No. | $\alpha_{\text {in-G }}$ | $M_{\text {in-G }}$ <br> (tons) | $\alpha_{\text {in-L }}$ | $M_{\text {in-L }}$ <br> (tons) | Inventory <br> (tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test 1 | 0.968 | 0.61 | 0.032 | 0.34 | 0.95 |
| Test 2 | 0.969 | 0.62 | 0.031 | 0.33 | 0.95 |
| Test 3 | 0.981 | 0.65 | 0.019 | 0.21 | 0.86 |
| Test 4 | 0.157 | 0.10 | 0.843 | 9.39 | 9.49 |
| Test 5 | 0.173 | 0.11 | 0.827 | 9.21 | 9.32 |
| Test 6 | 0.192 | 0.12 | 0.808 | 9.00 | 9.12 |
| Test 7 | 0.761 | 0.49 | 0.239 | 2.66 | 3.15 |
| Test 8 | 0.472 | 0.30 | 0.528 | 5.88 | 6.18 |
| Test 9 | 0.719 | 0.46 | 0.281 | 3.13 | 3.59 |

volume fraction of saturation liquid ( $\alpha_{\text {in-L }}$ ) for the injection operation. The initial temperature is set to $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The injection conditions are set to 2.2 MPa and $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, gas-liquid phase, similar to test conditions. The volume of pipeline is $10.96 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$. It can be seen that with the increasing of initial pressure, to reach the setting initial pressure and temperature, more inventory and saturation liquid are required. At the same time, the volume fraction of liquid phase in gas-liquid two-phase
flow increases gradually. It is because the density of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is proportional to the pressure, at constant temperature.


Fig. 3. Influence of initial pressure on the parameters for injection operation.

Fig. 4 shows the influence of initial temperature $\left(15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before release) on total inventory, required mass of saturation liquid ( $M_{\mathrm{in}-\mathrm{L}}$ ) and volume fraction of saturation liquid ( $\alpha_{i n-L}$ ) for the injection operation. The initial pressure is set to 8.0 MPa . The injection conditions are also similar to test conditions. It can be seen that with the increasing of initial temperature, to reach the setting initial pressure and temperature, less inventory and saturation liquid are required. At the same time, the volume fraction of liquid phase in gas-liquid two-phase flow decreases gradually. It is because the density of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is inversely proportional to the temperature, at constant pressure.


Fig. 4. Influence of initial temperature on the parameters for injection operation.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

This study presents the development of a numerical model based on the equal-density principle and SW state equation. Through the comparison of experimental results and calculation, the calculation mass of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ required in the whole experiment process is accurate. Lower initial temperature or higher initial pressure results in larger $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ mass for the injection operation. The equal-density approach can be used to calculate the mass of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ required for the injection operation to reach that the target temperature and pressure of the test
have been determined. Repeating a set of tests under the same initial circumstances is convenient by the numerical model.

## APPENDIX A

Coefficients of Eq. 2:

| $i$ | $a_{i}^{0}$ | $\vartheta_{i}^{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8.37304456 | 0 |
| 2 | -3.70454304 | 0 |
| 3 | 2.50000000 | 0 |
| 4 | 1.99427042 | 3.15163 |
| 5 | 0.62105248 | 6.11190 |
| 6 | 0.41195293 | 6.77708 |
| 7 | 1.04028922 | 11.32384 |
| 8 | 0.08327678 | 27.08792 |

Coefficients of Eq. 3:

| $i$ | $n_{i}$ | $d_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $t_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.38856823203161 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 2.93854759427400 | 1 | 0.75 |
| 3 | -5.58671885349340 | 1 | 1.00 |
| 4 | -0.76753199592477 | 1 | 2.00 |
| 5 | 0.31729005580416 | 2 | 0.73 |
| 6 | 0.54803315897767 | 2 | 2.00 |
| 7 | 0.12279411220335 | 3 | 0.75 |


| $i$ | $n_{i}$ | $d_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $t_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $c_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 2.16589615432200 | 1 | 1.50 | 1 |
| 9 | 1.58417351097240 | 2 | 1.50 | 1 |
| 10 | -0.23132705405503 | 4 | 2.50 | 1 |
| 11 | 0.05811691643144 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| 12 | -0.55369137205382 | 5 | 1.50 | 1 |
| 13 | 0.48946615909422 | 5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 14 | -0.02427573984350 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| 15 | 0.06249479050168 | 6 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 16 | -0.12175860225246 | 6 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 17 | -0.37055685270086 | 1 | 3.00 | 2 |
| 18 | -0.01677587970043 | 1 | 6.00 | 2 |
| 19 | -0.11960736637987 | 4 | 3.00 | 2 |
| 20 | -0.04561936250878 | 4 | 6 | 2 |
| 21 | 0.03561278927035 | 4 | 8.00 | 2 |
| 22 | -0.00744277271321 | 7 | 6.00 | 2 |
| 23 | -0.00173957049024 | 8 | 0 | 2 |
| 24 | -0.02181012128953 | 2 | 7.00 | 3 |
| 25 | 0.02433216655924 | 3 | 12.00 | 3 |
| 26 | -0.03744013342346 | 3 | 16.00 | 3 |
| 27 | 0.14338715756878 | 5 | 22.00 | 4 |
| 28 | -0.13491969083286 | 5 | 24.00 | 4 |
| 29 | -0.02315122505348 | 6 | 16.00 | 4 |
| 30 | 0.01236312549290 | 7 | 24.00 | 4 |
| 31 | 0.00210583219729 | 8 | 8.00 | 4 |
| 32 | -0.00033958519026 | 10 | 2.00 | 4 |
| 33 | 0.00559936517716 | 4 | 28.00 | 5 |
| 34 | -0.00030335118056 | 8 | 14.00 | 6 |


|  | $i$ | $n_{i}$ |  | $d_{\text {i }}$ | $t_{i}$ |  | $\alpha_{\text {i }}$ |  | $B_{i}$ |  | $\gamma_{i}$ | $\varepsilon$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 35 | -213.6548 |  | 2 | 1.00 |  | 25 |  | 325 |  | 1.16 | 1 |  |
|  | 36 | 26641.5691 | 200 | 2 | 0 |  | 25 |  | 300 |  | 1.19 | 1 |  |
|  | 37 | -24027.212 | 700 | 2 | 1.00 |  | 25 |  | 300 |  | 1.19 | 1 |  |
|  | 38 | -283.4160 |  | 3 | 3.00 |  | 15 |  | 275 |  | 1.25 | 1 |  |
|  | 39 | 212.47284 |  | 3 | 3.00 |  | 20 |  | 275 |  | 1.22 | 1 |  |
| $i$ |  | $n_{i}$ | $a_{i}$ | $b_{i}$ |  | $B_{i}$ |  | $A_{i}$ |  | $B_{i}$ |  | $C_{i}$ | $D_{\text {i }}$ |
| 40 |  | -0.66642276540751 | 3.500 | 0.875 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.7 |  | 0.3 |  | 10 | 275 |
| 41 |  | 0.72608632349897 | 3.500 | 0.925 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.7 |  | 0.3 |  | 10 | 275 |
| 42 |  | 0.05506866861284 | 3.000 | 0.875 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.7 |  | 1 |  | 12.5 | 275 |
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