Microwave-assisted Isopropanol-to-Propylene Process with A Structured Co₃O₄@silicalite-1/SiC Foam Catalyst

Jianwen Zhang^{1,2}, Chenxi Wang^{1,2}, Yiyang Liu¹, Rongze wei¹, Daweo Lan^{1,2}, Huiwen Zhu^{1,2}, Yilai Jiao³, Kaiqi Shi^{1,2}, Tao wu^{1,2*}

1 Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of Nottingham, Ningbo China, Ningbo 315100, China

2 Municipal Key Laboratory of Clean Energy Technologies of Ningbo, University of Nottingham Ningbo China, Ningbo 315100, China

3 Shenyang National Laboratory for Materials Science, Institute of Metal Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 72 Wenhua Road, Shenyang, 110016, China

(*Corresponding Author: tao.wu@nottingham.edu.cn)

ABSTRACT

Microwave has a significant promotion effect in gas-solid phase catalysis due to its unque advantages of heating process. This study introduces an innovative approach that combines microwave irradiation with a structured foam catalyst, Co₃O₄@S1/SiC, to enhance the isopropanol-to-propylene (ITP) process. The effectiveness of Co₃O₄@S1/SiC foam was investigated under various reaction temperatures (50-200 °C) and space velocities (1200 h⁻¹), in comparison to Co₃O₄@S1/SiC pellets. The Co₃O₄@S1/SiC foam exhibited superior performance, achieving a higher selectivity towards propylene (approximately 99%) compared to Co₃O₄@S1/SiC pellets (93%). This enhancement can be attributed to improved mass and heat transfers facilitated by the foam structure. Co₃O₄@S1/SiC foam for ITP process has a milder condition with microwave irradiation (121 °C for 90% conversion) than conventional heating (184 °C for 90% conversion).

Keywords: Microwave, SiC foam, Silicalite-1, Structured catalyst, Isopropanol-to-propylene (ITP)

NONMENCLATURE

Abbreviations	
ITP S1	Isopropanol to propylene Silicalite-1
Symbols	
h	hours

1. INTRODUCTION

Lower olefins play a crucial role in the chemical industry due to their increasing demand in various

applications such as packaging materials, solvents, antifreezing agents, and synthetic fibers [1]. Traditionally, the production of lower olefins heavily relies on energyintensive methods such as steam cracking and fluid catalytic cracking of petroleum feedstock [2, 3]. However, the limited supply of petroleum feedstock has prompted the exploration of alternative and environmentally friendly processes for their production. Among these alternatives, the conversion of short-chain alcohols (such as methanol, ethanol, butanol, and isopropanol) to olefins has garnered significant interest due to their easy synthesis from diverse natural sources like coal, biomass, and natural gas. Zeolite and zeotype catalysts have emerged as promising candidates for the methanol or ethanol to olefins conversion reaction [2, 4, 5]. Nevertheless, achieving high product selectivity and maintaining catalyst stability (including resistance to coke formation, hydrothermal stability, and activity) pose significant challenges in these processes [6].

Microwave is an electromagnetic wave with a very strong penetrating power. This unique reverse heating has many advantages, which can improve the energy transfer efficiency and reduce the heating time, which is conducive to the control the heating process and eliminates the risk of material surface overheating[7, 8]. Microwave technology in the chemical industry has brilliant applications, such as biomass pyrolysis[9, 10], water treatment materials[11, 12], CO₂ methanation[13] [14], catalytic combustion[15, 16], etc. Cobalt oxide is an brillian catalyst for numerous catalytic applications, such as hydrolysis, oxidation and dihydrogen, due to its unique properties, such as high reducibility, abundant surface oxygen species, and multiple oxidation states[17, 18]. Silicon carbide (SiC), as a new catalyst carrier with microwave-absorption capacity, has been attempted to be used in various reactions, such as fast pyrolysis[19],

[#] This is a paper for Applied Energy Symposium 2023: Clean Energy towards Carbon Neutrality (CEN2023), April 23-25, 2023, Ningbo, China.

bio-oil upgrading[20], methane conversion[21], desulphurization[22], etc.

In this work, we aimed to investigate microwaveresponsive Co-loaded structured catalysts for the preparation of propylene from isopropanol. The contrasting catalytic performance of structured catalysts can be observed when comparing conventional heating with microwave heating. Microwave intensification enables the reactants to achieve high conversion rates and exceptional selectivity towards propylene, even at low temperatures. This phenomenon highlights the significant advantages of microwave heating in enhancing the performance of structured catalysts. The mechanism is discussed by characterization techniques. Additionally, we also explored the effect of the structure of the carriers (foam and pellet) on the ITP process.

2. EXPERIMENT

2.1 Material and methods

2.1.1 Preparation of S1/SiC foams composites

In this experiment, cylindrical Silicon Carbide (SiC) foams, measuring 25 mm in diameter and 50 mm in height with 2 mm open-cell diameter, served as the catalyst support. To prepare the synthesis solution, deionized (DI) water, sodium hydroxide (NaOH), and tetrapropylammonium bromide (TPABr) were combined and stirred for 15 min, leading to a molar composition ratio of Si: TPABr: NaOH: $H_2O = 2.5:0.15:0.3:75$. The Si component was sourced from SiC foam's residual silicon. The hydrothermal synthesis was carried out at 175 °C over 72 h for complete reaction. The S1/SiC composites were then processed by boiling in DI water to remove contaminants, and then dried at 100 °C and calcined at 600 °C for 6 h in the presence of air.

2.1.2 Synthesis of structured Co₃O₄@S1/SiC foams, Co₃O₄@SiC foams and Co₃O₄@S1/SiC pellets.

To synthesize $Co_3O_4@S1/SiC$ foam composites procedure involved placing S1/SiC foam in 80 ml solution of 10 mmol cobalt nitrate hexahydrate, 20 mmol Ammonium fluoride, and 20 mmol urea. The autoclave was sealed and subjected to a 50-hour hydrothermal synthesis process at 95 °C. Post-synthesis, the catalyst was rinsed with DI water, then dried at 100 °C and calcined at 400 °C for 2 h. The cobalt deposition on the S1/SiC foam was found to be approximately 2.5 wt%, ascertained by comparing the weight of the catalyst before and after the synthesis. For control purposes, a $Co_3O_4@SiC$ foam was synthesized using the same method, replacing S1/SiC with SiC. The experiment was also designed to produce $Co_3O_4@S1/SiC$ pellet catalysts. Silicalite-1 (S1) was synthesized via hydrothermal process using tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), tetrapropylammonium hydroxide (TPAOH), and water, in a ratio of 2.5 : 3 : 0.15 : 75. Following a similar method, $Co_3O_4@S1$ was created and then calcined to stabilize its structure. The $Co_3O_4@S1$ powder was blended with SiC pellets to attain roughly 50 wt% of cobalt content. This final product was compared to $Co_3O_4@S1/SiC$ foam catalysts.



Fig. 1. a) the pictures of bare SiC (left) and Co₃O₄@S1/SiC foam (right); b) the CT scan image of SiC foam

2.2 Microwave-assisted catalytic ITP process

The experiment set-up was purposed for testing either Co₃O₄@S1/SiC foam, Co₃O₄@SiC foam or $Co_3O_4@S1/SiC$ pellets, with the latter possessing a size of approximately 2 mm and a total volume of 25 ml. A graphical depiction of the foam catalysts' size and structure can be observed in Fig.1. The Isopropanol Thermal Process (ITP) was conducted at atmospheric pressure across a varied temperature range, extending from 50 to 300 °C. Infrared measurements provided realtime temperature data for the catalyst bed during the process. In each test, isopropanol vapor, with a concentration of about 38 mg l⁻¹, was generated using a bubbler in a cold-water bath maintained by the cooling system. Nitrogen served as the carrier gas, feeding the reactor. A feed flow rate of 500 ml min⁻¹ was maintained in the system. This corresponded to a gas volume hourly space velocity of 1200 h⁻¹. A parallel control test was performed under identical conditions using bare SiC and S1/SiC foam exposed to microwave radiation.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Temperature rising test in microwave

The temperature rising test under microwave heating was carried out in order to test the microwaveabsorbing properties of SiC and S1/SiC foam. In a very short time (less than 2 minutes), the temperature can exceed 300 °C (as shown in Fig. 2). Compared with SiC, S1/SiC has better microwave absorbing ability with the same microwave radiation power. That is because S1 modified the morphology of SiC foam when it grew on the SiC surface, which improved the microwave radiation absorption with more reflection and refraction on the support surface. SiC foam has excellent thermal conductivity, which is attributed to material intrinsic properties and spatial structure. The CT scanning of the internal structure of SiC is shown in Fig 1b. It can be seen that the spatial distribution of the SiC skeleton is relatively uniform, which is conducive to homogeneous heat transfer.

3.2 Properties of materials

Fig. 3.a) a presents an in-depth view of the bare Silicon Carbide (SiC) foam support, revealing that its surface is embedded within a silicon (Si) core. The abundance of Si infiltrating the SiC support matrix during the hydrothermal synthesis process served a dual purpose. It not only provided the Si source for zeolite crystallization but also facilitated a robust interaction between the support and the gel. Further micrographic observations of the S1/SiC and Co₃O₄@S1/SiC composite are displayed in Fig. 3.a) a3 and a5. These images elucidate the development of a uniform S1 coating on the SiC foam support, a factor instrumental in promoting the subsequent growth of Co₃O₄ during the hydrothermal synthesis process. Supplementing the visual evidence, a SEM-EDS analysis was conducted to scrutinize the properties of the resulting composites. The

findings, demonstrated in Fig. 3.b), show the formation of a uniform and dense coating of flower-like Co_3O_4 structures on the surface of the S1/SiC. The analysis confirmed that the hydrothermal synthesis process



Fig. 2. Simulation diagram of Microwave heating (above) and microwave absorption performance (below) of bare SiC foam and Slicalite-1/SiC (S1/SiC)

successfully led to the desired composite's formation.

X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis was utilized to explore the components of the S1/SiC composite, which includes cobalt oxide, silicalite-1 (S1), Silicon Carbide (SiC), and Silicon (Si), as shown in Fig. 3.d). The results from this analysis were corroborated by SEM-EDS mapping of the $Co_3O_4@S1/SiC$ composite, ensuring reliability in the findings

High-Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM) was employed for an in-depth inspection of $Co_3O_4@S1/SiC$, as demonstrated in Fig. 3 c). It unveiled that the unique flower-like structure of the cobalt phase was, in fact, composed of cobalt oxide nanocrystals. The



Fig. 3. a) SEM micrographs of (a1, a2) the bare SiC foam, (a3, a4) S1/SiC and (a5, a6) Co₃O₄@S1/SiC with different magnifications; b) EDS-maps of Co₃O₄@S1/SiC; c) HRTEM micrographs of Co₃O₄@S1/SiC; d) XRD patterns of the ground Co₃O₄@S1/SiC catalyst.

cobalt oxide demonstrated a face-centered cubic structure with a lattice constant of a = 0.48 nm. This confirmed the crystalline phase as Co_3O_4 spinel. The findings from the HRTEM analysis were congruent with the XRD results, reinforcing the consistency and accuracy of the experimental data.

The textural characteristics of the bare SiC and S1/SiC composite were investigated using N2 physisorption analysis (Fig. 4.a). The SiC foam demonstrated nonporosity with a relatively low surface area of 0.61 m² g⁻¹. On the contrary, the S1/SiC composite showed a considerably larger BET surface area of 29.95 m² g⁻¹. It was evident that the initial crystallization of the Co phases occurred within the S1 framework, followed by the subsequent coverage of the S1 coating by Co₃O₄. These findings were consistent with the results obtained from the XRD, SEM, and HRTEM analyses, thereby the experimental observations validating and conclusions.

velocity. It was found that the conversion of isopropanol could reach nearly 99%, increasing with temperature.

The catalytic activity of various materials, including bare SiC, S1/SiC, Co₃O₄@SiC, and Co₃O₄@S1/SiC, was meticulously evaluated under microwave irradiation as depicted in Fig.4.b). Among them, Co Co₃O₄@S1/SiC emerged as notably thermally stable and demonstrated superior performance in the dehydration reaction. This assessment was conducted across a broad temperature spectrum, spanning from 50 to 300 °C, under atmospheric pressure and at an almost constant space velocity. A remarkable observation from this evaluation was that the conversion rate of isopropanol surged with increasing temperature, eventually nearing a staggering 99%. This impressive conversion efficiency underscores the potency of the Co₃O₄@S1/SiC catalyst under the given conditions, showcasing its potential for highperformance applications. The findings also hint at the potential of fine-tuning these variables to optimize catalytic performance further and Co₃O₄ has a brilliant



Fig. 4. a) N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms of the SiC, S1/SiC and Co₃O₄@S1/SiC composites; b) The conversion of Isopropanol dehydration process over SiC, S1/SiC Co₃O₄@SiC and Co₃O₄@S1/SiC composites; c) The comparison of conversion of Isopropanol on Co₃O₄@S1/SiC under microwave and conventional heating; d) The conversion and selectivity for ITP process on Co₃O₄@S1/SiC foam and e) on Co₃O₄@S1/SiC pellets under microwave heating; f) Microwae absortion performance of SiC foam and SiC pellets.

3.3 Catalytic ITP process

Here the catalytic activity has been evaluated over bare SiC, S1/SiC, Co₃O₄@SiC and Co₃O₄@S1/SiC catalysts by microwave, as shown in Fig.4.b). which was thermally stable and showed its best performance in the dehydration reaction. The catalytic activity was evaluated in a wide range of temperatures (50–300 °C) at atmospheric pressure with almost constant space prospect for the dehydration of isopropanol. Second support S1 also played an important role in conversion, not only because it expands the surface area of the carrier, but also improves the microwave absorption capacity and the adsorption of organic gases, which was confirmed from Fig.2 and Fig. 4.a).

In a comparative study, the performance of $Co_3O_4@S1/SiC$ foam composites was evaluated under microwave-assisted catalysis and conventional heating.

As depicted in Fig. 4.c), the process monitored the catalytic dehydration of isopropanol over Co₃O₄@S1/SiC, with the reaction temperatures corresponding to isopropanol conversion rates of 50% and 90% (T₅₀ and T_{90}) and propylene selectivity rates of 50% and 90% (S_{50} and S₉₀) being the comparison metrics, as summarized in Table 1. Under conventional heating, T₅₀, T₉₀, S₅₀ and S₉₀ values were observed at 170 °C, 184 °C, 152 °C, and 172 °C, respectively. However, when subjected to microwave heating, these key performance indicators shifted to lower temperatures, underlining the microwave's effectiveness in facilitating the catalytic reaction. In essence, microwave heating accelerated the conversion of isopropanol, proving more efficient even at lower, milder temperatures. This highlights the potential for microwave-assisted catalysis as a more energy-efficient approach such chemical and productive in transformations.

Table 1 Relevant activity data of catalytic dehydration	of
isopropanol over Co ₃ O₄@S1/SiC catalysts.	

Catalyst Co@S1/SiC	C₃H8O Conversion		C₃H₅ selectivity	
	T₅₀(°C)	T ₉₀ (℃)	S ₅₀ (°C)	S ₉₀ (°C)
Microwave heating	97	121	72	93
Convention al heating	170	184	152	172

Fig. 4.d) shows the products of the isopropanol catalytic system including acetone and propylene. Acetone was the main product over the catalysts at very low temperatures. At temperatures around 50 °C, these catalysts facilitated isopropanol's dehydrogenation, resulting in the production of acetone. This outcome aligns with previous studies which demonstrated that Co₃O₄ nanoparticles could yield near-total selectivity (about 99%) for acetone at such relatively low temperatures. The Co₃O₄@S1/SiC catalysts exhibited effectiveness particular for isopropanol dehydrogenation. This improved activity can likely be attributed to increased surface oxygen vacancies. As illustrated in Fig. 4.d), the dehydration of isopropanol (leading to propylene production) began at temperatures just over 50 °C and became dominant at around 80 °C. Once temperatures exceeded 120 °C, these catalysts displayed selectivity towards a total dehydration reaction, with propylene being the principal product. To summarize the findings represented in the product condition curves, acetone serves as an intermediary product, while propylene is the end product in this catalytic transformation process.

Fig. 4. e) shows the conversion and the selectivity to acetone and propylene respectively over $Co_3O_4@S1/SiC$ pellets. The behavior of selectivity was different from foam catalysts, only up to 93% for propylene (~99% for foam catalysts). The conversion of isopropanol was also a little bit lower than foam catalysts (99%). This can be explained by the improved mass/heat transfer for foam catalysts, which was confirmed by the microwave absorption capacity between SiC foam and pellets as shown in Fig. 4.f).

4. CONCLUSIONS

This study introduces structured catalysts, Co₃O₄@S1/SiC foam, as an effective solution for the conversion of isopropanol into propylene. Utilizing microwave irradiation, this innovative method shows significant improvements over conventional processes using packed Co₃O₄@S1/SiC pellets. The benefits of this methodology are primarily attributed to two key factors. Firstly, the SiC foam's interaction with the microwave radiation ensures rapid and uniform heating of the catalyst. Secondly, the SiC foam's open-cell structure and the Co₃O₄@S1's thin coating layer enhance both global and local mass transfers. Furthermore, when compared with traditional heating processes, the microwaveassisted procedure required lower temperatures to achieve equivalent conversion rates and propylene yields. These advancements indicate the promising potential of microwave-absorbing structured catalysts for process intensification, paving the way for more efficient chemical synthesis under microwave irradiation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Zhejiang Provincial Department of Science and Technology is acknowledged for this research under its Provincial Key Laboratory Program (2020E10018). This research is partially sponsored by Ningbo Municipal Science and Technology Programme (2018B10023) and by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (2207081472). Ningbo Bureau of Science and Technology is also thanked for its support to the Key Laboratory of Clean Energy Conversion Technologies. The University of Nottingham Ningbo China provides the first author with full scholarships. The Shenyang National Laboratory for Materials Science, Institute of Metal Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences is acknowledged for providing catalytic material support.

DECLARATION OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCE

[1] H.M. Torres Galvis, K.P. de Jong, Catalysts for Production of Lower Olefins from Synthesis Gas: A Review, ACS Catalysis, 3 (2013) 2130-2149.

[2] H. Huang, H. Wang, H. Zhu, S. Zhang, Q. Zhang, C. Li, Enhanced ethene to propene ratio over Zn-modified SAPO-34 zeolites in methanol-to-olefin reaction, Catalysis Science & Technology, 9 (2019) 2203-2210.

[3] T.K. Phung, T.L.M. Pham, K.B. Vu, G. Busca, (Bio)Propylene production processes: A critical review, Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering, 9 (2021).

[4] S. Wang, Y. Chen, Z. Qin, T.-S. Zhao, S. Fan, M. Dong, J. Li, W. Fan, J. Wang, Origin and evolution of the initial hydrocarbon pool intermediates in the transition period for the conversion of methanol to olefins over H-ZSM-5 zeolite, Journal of Catalysis, 369 (2019) 382-395.

[5] Y. Wang, S.-L. Chen, Y.-L. Gao, Y.-Q. Cao, Q. Zhang, W.-K. Chang, J.B. Benziger, Enhanced Methanol to Olefin Catalysis by Physical Mixtures of SAPO-34 Molecular Sieve and MgO, ACS Catalysis, 7 (2017) 5572-5584.

[6] J. Bi, M. Liu, C. Song, X. Wang, X. Guo, C2–C4 light olefins from bioethanol catalyzed by Ce-modified nanocrystalline HZSM-5 zeolite catalysts, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 107 (2011) 68-76.

[7] F. Motasemi, M.T. Afzal, A review on the microwaveassisted pyrolysis technique, Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews, 28 (2013) 317-330.

[8] C.O. Kappe, Controlled Microwave Heating in Modern Organic Synthesis, Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 43 (2004) 6250-6284.

[9] K. Shi, J. Yan, X. Luo, E. Lester, T. Wu, Microwave-assisted pyrolysis of bamboo coupled with reforming by activated carbon for the production of hydrogen-rich syngas, Energy Procedia, 142 (2017) 1640-1646.

[10] Y. Hong, W. Chen, X. Luo, C. Pang, E. Lester, T. Wu, Microwave-enhanced pyrolysis of macroalgae and microalgae for syngas production, Bioresour Technol, 237 (2017) 47-56.

[11] Fabien, Dufour, Sophie, Cassaignon, Olivier, Durupthy, Christophe, Colbeau-Justin, Corinne, Chanéac, Do TiO2 Nanoparticles Really Taste Better When Cooked in a Microwave Oven?, European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry, (2012).

[12] H. Zhao, X. Mu, G. Yang, C. Zheng, C. Sun, X. Gao, T. Wu, Microwave-induced activation of additional active edge sites on the MoS 2 surface for enhanced Hg 0 capture, Applied Surface Science, 420 (2017) 439-445.

[13] R. Zhang, H. Chen, Y. Mu, S. Chansai, X. Ou, C. Hardacre, Y. Jiao, X. Fan, Structured Ni@NaA zeolite supported on silicon carbide foam catalysts for catalytic carbon dioxide methanation, Aiche J, 66 (2020).

[14] W. Wang, C. Duong-Viet, Z. Xu, H. Ba, G. Tuci, G. Giambastiani, Y. Liu, T. Truong-Huu, J.-M. Nhut, C. Pham-Huu, CO2 methanation under dynamic operational mode using nickel nanoparticles decorated carbon felt (Ni/OCF) combined with inductive heating, Catalysis Today, 357 (2020) 214-220.

[15] M. Zhang, S. Zou, S. Mo, J. Zhong, D. Chen, Q. Ren, M. Fu, P. Chen, D. Ye, Enhancement of catalytic toluene combustion over Pt-Co3O4 catalyst through in-situ metal-organic template conversion, Chemosphere, 262 (2021) 127738.

[16] Q. Zhao, Y. Zheng, C. Song, Q. Liu, N. Ji, D. Ma, X. Lu, Novel monolithic catalysts derived from in-situ decoration of Co3O4 and hierarchical Co3O4@MnOx on Ni foam for VOC oxidation, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 265 (2020).

[17] C. Luo, S. Liu, G. Yang, P. Jiang, X. Luo, Y. Chen, M. Xu, E. Lester, T. Wu, Microwave-accelerated hydrolysis for hydrogen production over a cobalt-loaded multi-walled carbon nanotube-magnetite composite catalyst, Applied Energy, 333 (2023).

[18] X. Fei, S. Cao, W. Ouyang, Y. Wen, H. Wang, Z. Wu, A convenient synthesis of core-shell Co3O4@ZSM-5 catalysts for the total oxidation of dichloromethane (CH2Cl2), Chemical engineering journal (Lausanne, Switzerland : 1996), 387 (2020) 123411.

[19] Y. Wang, L. Ke, Y. Peng, Q. Yang, Y. Liu, Q. Wu, Y. Tang, H. Zhu, L. Dai, Z. Zeng, L. Jiang, R. Ruan, Ex-situ catalytic fast pyrolysis of soapstock for aromatic oil over microwave-driven HZSM-5@SiC ceramic foam, Chemical engineering journal (Lausanne, Switzerland : 1996), 402 (2020) 126239.

[20] Z.T. Yu, Y.P. Wang, L. Jiang, L.L. Dai, Y.H. Liu, R. Ruan, P.W. Wen, Y.F. Zhao, D.L. Duan, R.G. Zou, Q.H. Wu, Y.Z. Li, Conversion of woody oil into bio-oil in a downdraft reactor using a novel silicon carbide foam supported MCM41 composite catalyst, Rsc Adv, 9 (2019) 19729-19739.

[21] X. Ou, M. Tomatis, Y. Lan, Y. Jiao, Y. Chen, Z. Guo, X. Gao, T. Wu, C. Wu, K. Shi, A. Azapagic, X. Fan, A novel microwaveassisted methanol-to-hydrocarbons process with a structured ZSM-5/SiC foam catalyst: Proof-of-concept and environmental impacts, Chemical Engineering Science, (2022).

[22] C. Duong-Viet, L. Nguyen-Dinh, Y. Liu, G. Tuci, G. Giambastiani, C. Pham-Huu, Nickel Sulfides Decorated SiC Foam for the Low Temperature Conversion of H_2S into Elemental Sulfur, Molecules (Basel, Switzerland), 23 (2018) 1528.