Competitive Domination between SDS Concentration and Driving Force in Promote CH₄ Hydrate Formation[#]

Yan Xie^{1,2,3}, Yujie Zhu², Jingchun Feng^{1,3*}, Tao Zheng², Changyu Sun^{2*}, Guangjin Chen^{2*},

1 Research Centre of Ecology & Environment for Coastal Area and Deep Sea, Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou 510006, China

2 State Key Laboratory of Heavy Oil Processing, China University of Petroleum, Beijing 102249, China

3 Southern Marine Science and Engineering, Guangdong Laboratory (Guangzhou), Guangzhou 511458, China

ABSTRACT

The large-scale commercial development of natural gas hydrate puts forward requirements for efficient gas storage. Solidified natural gas storage via clathrate hydrates presents an economically sound prospect and promising high energy density. Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) is regarded as one of the most effective kinetic promoters for the rapid and high-efficient conversion of CH₄ hydrate. However, the mechanism that SDS influences the formation of clathrate hydrate remains controversial. Considering the differences in hydrate film formation characteristics and single crystal structure may be important reasons for the influence of SDS on hydrate formation and different promotion effects, this study investigated, from mesoscopic to molecular scale, the effects of SDS and subcooling on the evolution of CH₄ hydrate film. The experimental results showed that a competitive mechanism between SDS concentration and driving force on the CH₄ hydrate formation may exist, which could dominate the growth mode of CH₄ hydrate. In case of the influence of subcooling being greater, a complete CH₄ hydrate film was formed. Once SDS concentration dominates hydrate growth, a nonaggregated CH₄ hydrate film can be formed. The critical concentrations of SDS varied with different subcooling conditions so that only if the SDS concentration exceeded the critical point can the formation of CH₄ hydrate be obviously accelerated. The results obtained in this study are of great significance to guide the selection of the optimal SDS concentration for the promotion of CH₄ hydrate formation and provide insights for the method modification of SDS or other surfactants accelerating CO₂ hydrate formation.

Keywords: CH₄ hydrate, SDS concentration, subcooling, non-aggregated hydrate film, competitive mechanism

1. INTRODUCTION

Natural gas hydrate (NGH), an ice-like cage compound, is mainly composed of methane and water molecules [1]. Due to the huge reserves, low combustion pollution and high energy density, NGH is regarded as an important follow-up energy in the future [2]. The large-scale development of NGH is accompanied by the demand for efficient storage and transportation of natural gas.

Because the unit volume of hydrate can store 170 m³ of CH₄ gas, and the storage conditions are significantly mild compared with those of liquefied natural gas (LNG) and compressed natural gas (CNG), restoring the natural gas produced from NGH through clathrate hydrate method is one of the important ways to realize its high-efficient storage and transportation. Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), considered as one of the most effective kinetic promoters of CH₄ hydrate formation, is commonly used for the rapid formation of CH₄ hydrate [3].

In particular, an optimal, rather than higher, SDS solution concentration for maximum promoting CH_4 hydrate formation under certain temperature and pressure conditions may exist [4]. However, the micromechanism for this phenomenon remains unclear. On the other hand, it is well known that SDS cannot accelerate the formation of CO_2 hydrate, in which the most widely accepted mechanism interpretation is the competitive adsorption between HCO_3^- and DS^- [5]. However, the promotion of SDS on the formation of mixed hydrate containing high-concentrated CO_2 gas and a small amount of other gases such as methane and tetrafluoroethane is hard to be explained [6]. Therefore, the micro-mechanism SDS influencing hydrate formation necessitates further investigation.

The formation of compacted hydrate shell is one of the most important reasons that hinder the rapid and successive hydrate formation, and the study on the formation characteristics of hydrate film is an important way to reveal the influence mechanism of SDS on gas hydrate. According to our previous hydrate film growth research [7] and comparison with literature [8] shown in Fig.1, we speculate that the differences in film formation characteristics and single hydrate crystal structure may be important reasons for the influence of SDS on hydrate formation and different promotion effects.



Fig. 1. Comparison of the morphology of CH_4 hydrate [7] and CO_2 hydrate film [8].

To explore the specific controlling factors and influence mechanism of SDS on the hydrate formation process, in this study, the effects of SDS and subcooling on the formation characteristics of CH₄ hydrate film were studied from mesoscopic to molecular scale by combining with optical microscope and Raman spectrum. The results obtained in this study are of great significance to guide the selection of the optimal SDS concentration to promote the formation of CH₄ hydrate and have insights for the method modification of SDS or other surfactants accelerating CO₂ hydrate formation.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1 Materials

CH₄ gas with a purity of 99.99% was supplied by the Beijing Beifen Gas Industry Corporation, China. Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS, analytical reagent) was purchased from Beijing Reagents Corporation, China. Deionized water with a conductivity less than 10^{-4} S * m⁻¹ was used.

2.2 Experimental apparatus and procedures

The experimental apparatus is shown in Fig. 2. A high-pressure optical cell was used for gas bubbling and

hydrate formation. Briefly, the volume of the cell is 10 mL and the maximum operating pressure is 20 MPa. The gas needle at the bottom of the cell was used for bubbling. An optical microscope was used for recording morphology changes of hydrate bubbles. An *in-situ* Raman spectrometer was used to measure Raman spectrum changes. A microscope attached to the Raman spectrometer was used for the comparative analysis of hydrate morphology to the Raman spectrum. The Raman spectra was collected by a HORIBA XploRA Raman system. 1800 grooves/mm grating and 532 nm wavelength laser were employed. More information about the apparatus was detailed in our previous studies [7, 9, 10].



Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of the experimental apparatus (a) for bubbling and hydrate formation and (b) of the high-pressure optical cell with optical microscope recording and Raman measuring.

After the cleaning of the cell, 2 mL SDS solutions with different concentrations were loaded. Then, the system temperature was cooled to 274.65 K and kept constant. Then, the cell was vacuumed and CH₄ gas was injected to a pressure much higher than the hydrate equilibrium pressure for the acceleration of hydrate nucleation. After the hydrate formation at the gas-liquid interface, the system was depressurized to dissociate hydrate. Subsequently, CH₄ gas was injected through valve 1 to target pressure for hydrate formation at the bulk gas-liquid interface again. Then, the valve 1 was closed and the valve 2 and the valve 3 were simultaneously

controlled for bubbling. As soon as the gas bubble touched the hydrate layer, the hydrate film on the suspended bubble grew. After the formation of hydrate film on the bubble, the optical microscope recording and Raman spectrum measurement start.

3. RESULTS AND DISSCUTION

3.1. Morphological evolution characteristics of CH₄ hydrate film under the competition of SDS concentration and subcooling

Fig. 3 shows the effect of varied SDS solution concentrations on the formation of CH_4 hydrate under the conditions of 3.2 MPa pressure, 0.8 K subcooling, where t_0 represents the time lateral hydrate film growth completing. The initial film morphology of CH_4 hydrate was found to be obviously rougher with the addition of

25 ppm SDS, which is a reflection of the increased initial film thickness and uneven hydrate surface. That is, SDS solution in low concentration can also influence the hydrate formation to a certain extent. However, with the extension of growth time, the morphology of hydrate film became similar to that in pure water system. For the CH₄ hydrate formed with 50 ppm SDS solution, the hydrate particles formed on the gas bubble-water interface kept moving during the whole experimental process, and the complete hydrate film could not be achieved. Since the continuable renewal of the gas-liquid interface is significantly conducive to the successive hydrate formation, it can be inferred that there is a critical SDS concentration that the formation of CH4 hydrate could be obviously promoted only above the critical point.

Table 1. Experimental conditions and results for CH₄ hydrate film formations at various SDS concentration and pressure

Runs	Temperature (K)	Pressure (MPa)	Subcooling (K)	SDS concentration (ppm)	Agglomeration or not
1		3.2	0.8	0	Yes
2		3.2	0.8	25	Yes
3		3.2	0.8	50	No
4		3.8	2.4	0	Yes
5	274.65	3.8	2.4	50	Yes
6		3.8	2.4	100	Yes
7		3.6	1.9	100	No
8		5.2	5.4	0	Yes
9		5.2	5.4	50	Yes



Fig. 3. Formation and evolution characteristics of CH_4 hydrate film at 0.8 K subcooling and different SDS concentrations.

As the subcooling reaching 2.4 K after the hydrate formation pressure increased slightly (from 3.2 MPa to 3.8 MPa), the morphology and its evolution of CH_4

hydrate film formed by SDS solution is presented in Fig. 4a. It can be found that the small increase in the hydrate formation driving force with the same 50 ppm SDS solution, however, directly inhibits the non-aggregated phenomenon. Nevertheless, the concentration of 50 ppm SDS under this driving force can still change the morphology of the hydrate film and lead the hydrate particles accumulated on the film to be coarser. In addition, it can be inferred, from the downward growth of the interface hydrate at time $t_0 + 270$ min in Fig. 4a and the comparison with the film morphology in pure water system, that SDS promoted the hydrate growth and delayed the aging of the film. However, the hydrate growth seemed to mainly depend on the thickening growth of hydrate film to aqueous phase. We consider that the significant change of hydrate morphology with the increase of subcooling could be mainly attributed to the change in dominance due to the competition between driving force and SDS concentration on hydrate formation. Although the raised driving force for hydrate formation was also conductive to hydrate growth, it is inferior compared to that of hydrate growth with uninterrupted renewal of gas-liquid interface as shown in Fig. 2.



Fig. 4. Comparison of CH₄ hydrate film morphologies formed in 50 ppm SDS solution and pure water system at 2.4 K subcooling.

To enhance the competitiveness of SDS, we further increased SDS concentration, as presented in Fig. 5a. The further increase of SDS concentration led to the initial hydrate particles being fine, while still strengthened the hydrate growth. The influence of driving force on hydrate formation remained dominant under this SDS concentration condition. After the subcooling was reduced to 1.9 K, the mobile and non-aggregated phenomenon of CH₄ hydrate particles on the gas-liquid interface appeared again, indicating that the concentration of 100 ppm SDS exceeds the critical condition for SDS dominating CH₄ hydrate growth under this driving force condition. It will significantly enhance the sustainable growth ability of CH₄ hydrate.

(a) 100ppm SDS, $\triangle T_{sub}=1.9 \text{ K}$ $f_{0}+0.1 \min t_{0}+0.3 \min t_{0}+0.5 \max t_{0}+0.5 \max$

Fig. 5. Morphology characteristics of CH₄ hydrate film in 100 ppm SDS solution under different subcooling conditions.

3.2. Response characteristics of Raman spectra to its initial CH₄ hydrate film morphology

Fig. 6 illustrates the initial Raman spectra of CH₄ hydrate and its corresponding hydrate film morphology under several different pressures and SDS concentrations. According to the Raman peak of CH₄ hydrate, the addition of 50 ppm SDS significantly increased the initial hydrate film thickness for the subcooling of 2.4 K. 50 ppm SDS solution can also increase the initial thickness of CH₄ hydrate film at relatively high subcooling of 5.4 K, but its enhancement amplitude is significantly weaker than that of 2.4 K. This phenomenon was consistent with the conclusion of hydrate morphology change.



Fig. 6. Initial Raman spectra of CH₄ hydrate and its corresponding hydrate film morphology under several different pressures and SDS concentrations.

4. CONCLUSION

In this study, the effects of SDS and subcooling on the formation and evolution of CH₄ hydrate film were investigated from mesoscopic to molecular scale by combining with optical microscope and Raman spectrum. The experimental results showed that a competitive mechanism between subcooling and SDS concentration on the CH₄ hydrate formation may exist, which could dominate the growth mode of CH₄ hydrate. In case of the influence of subcooling being greater, a complete CH₄ hydrate film was formed. Once SDS concentration dominates hydrate growth, a nonaggregated CH₄ hydrate film can be formed, which ensures the continuable renewal of gas-liquid interface and is conductive for continuous hydrate growth. The critical concentrations of SDS varied with different subcooling conditions so that only if the SDS concentration exceeded the critical point can the formation of CH₄ hydrate be obviously accelerated. For low subcooling, only a small amount of SDS was required to obviously promote the growth of CH₄ hydrate. Although CH₄ hydrate film could not present the nonaggregated state when subcooling dominated hydrate formation, SDS can also promote the growth of hydrate to a certain extent. We consider whether SDS can significantly promote hydrate formation depends on the comprehensive influence of single hydrate crystal configuration of hydrate film, hydrate film growth rate and the concentration-related overall adsorption ability of SDS.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Financial support received from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (42022046, 52122602, U20B6005, 21908243, 22127812, 22178379, 42206223), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2021YFF0502300), the Key Special Project for Introduced Talents Team of Southern Marine Science and Engineering Guangdong Laboratory (Guangzhou) (GML2019ZD0403 and GML2019ZD0401), Guangdong Natural Resources Foundation (GDNRC [2022]45), Guangzhou Science and Technology Project (202102020971).

REFERENCE

- [1] Sloan ED, Koh CA. Clathrate Hydrates of Natural Gases. 3rd ed CRC Press 2008.
- [2] Boswell R, Collett TS. Current perspectives on gas hydrate resources. Energy Environ Sci 2011;4(4):1206-15.

- [3] Kumar A, Bhattacharjee G, Kulkarni BD, Kumar R. Role of Surfactants in Promoting Gas Hydrate Formation. Ind Eng Chem Res 2015;54(49):12217-32.
- [4] Lin W, Chen GJ, Sun CY, et al. Effect of surfactant on the formation and dissociation kinetic behavior of methane hydrate. Chem Eng Sci 2004;59(21):4449-55.
- [5] Zhang JS, Lo C, Somasundaran P, Lee JW. Competitive adsorption between SDS and carbonate on tetrahydrofuran hydrates. J Colloid Interface Sci 2010;341(2):286-8.
- [6] Nesterov AN, Reshetnikov AM. New combination of thermodynamic and kinetic promoters to enhance carbon dioxide hydrate formation under static conditions. Chem Eng J 2019;378:122165.
- [7] Xie Y, Zheng T, Zhu YJ, et al. Effects of H2/N2 on CO₂ hydrate film growth: Morphology and microstructure. Chem Eng J 2022;431:134004.
- [8] Li SL, Sun CY, Liu B, et al. Initial thickness measurements and insights into crystal growth of methane hydrate film. Aiche J 2013;59(6):2145-54.
- [9] Xie Y, Zheng T, Zhong JR, et al. Experimental research on self-preservation effect of methane hydrate in porous sediments. Appl Energ 2020;268.
- [10] Zeng XY, Wu GZ, Zhong JR, Chen DY, Sun CY, Chen GJ. Three-Scale in Situ Investigation on the Film Morphology and Mass Transfer Channels during the Thickening Growth of Hydrates on Gas Bubble. Cryst Growth Des 2019;19(6):3158-65.