ISSN 2004-2965

# Assessment of the green hydrogen chain value for port operations: A case of study in Chile

Roberto Carmona<sup>1</sup>, Ricardo Miranda<sup>1</sup>, Angel Rodríguez<sup>1</sup>, Pablo Rodríguez<sup>2</sup>, René Garrido<sup>2</sup>, Daniel Serafini<sup>3</sup>, Marcelo Mena<sup>4,5</sup>, Yunesky Masip<sup>1,5\*</sup>

1 Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Escuela de Ingeniería Mecánica, Quilpué, Valparaíso, Chile

2 Universidad de Santiago de Chile, Facultad de Ingeniería, Departamento de Geografía, Santiago de Chile, Región Metropolitana, Chile

3 Universidad de Santiago de Chile, Departamento de Física, Santiago de Chile, Región Metropolitana, Chile

4 Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Escuela de Ingeniería Bioquímica, Valparaíso, Chile

5 Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Centro de Acción Climática, Valparaíso, Chile

\*Corresponding author (<u>yunesky.masip@pucv.cl</u>)

#### ABSTRACT

In Chile, the government presented the National Green Hydrogen Strategy, which will allow the export of this renewable fuel created with zero-emission energy, a positive contribution to carbon neutrality. This study addressed the possibility of integrating a green hydrogen value chain in the port sector. The study focused on generating electricity from photovoltaic solar energy to produce enough hydrogen in electrifiers to power a fuel cell that generated electricity and residual heat. Two scenarios were calculated for hydrogen generation depending on the solar energy available to cover an electrical and thermal demand in ports 1 MWh<sub>e</sub> and 0.1 MWh<sub>t</sub>, respectively. For this purpose, the Calliope tool was utilized for energy system sizing. Furthermore, it was determined that the cost of 1  $kg_{H2}$  is 4.1 times higher than that of 1 liter of diesel to obtain the same 1 MWh<sub>e</sub>. Similarly, the Levelized Cost of Energy was calculated for two operating conditions.

**Keywords:** green hydrogen, renewable energy resources, simulation energy systems, chain value

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The electric energy generated from Renewable Energy Systems (RES), mainly solar, wind, and geothermic, in 2021 represented more than 23% of the total energy generation of the National Electric System (SEN) for Chile [1]. Based on the total energy generated, the emission factor of the SEN was 0.3905 tCO<sub>2eq</sub>/MWh for the year 2021. An option to reduce greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) and decarbonize the energy matrix appears in the "National Green Hydrogen Strategy in Chile" [2]. This strategy has played a fundamental role in just transitioning to developing sustainable and consuming a renewable fuels like the green hydrogen (green  $H_2$ ).

Green  $H_2$  allows the storage and the independent energy for specific processes in the industry [3,4], showing competitiveness over other technologies for reliability reasons or large storage volumes [5,6]. However, the techno-economic viability of green  $H_2$ production depends on the country's specific resources and the characteristics of the energy market. Furthermore, the transport route, mode, and carrier significantly affect the supply chain's overall structure and the Levelized energy cost. Recently, studies by [7–9] place Chile as one of the largest producers of green  $H_2$ worldwide and one of the international strategic routes for the commercialization of green  $H_2$  together with other producers.

The green H<sub>2</sub> chain value green [10,11] studied links RES resources and the modernization of energy supply, transport, industry, and renewable energy export. Recently works [12,13] identified that the H<sub>2</sub>-based comprises four energy system main stages, interconnected and interdependent [14], the generation, storage, safety or regulation, and final use of green H<sub>2</sub>. The studies on these topics are diverse and mainly focus on empirical study and mathematical modeling to optimize the value chain [15,16]. It is also essential to know the behavior of this technology in the economy of the countries in a complex energy system [17]. Other studies have focused on specific applications [18,19]. The behavior of these systems using green H<sub>2</sub> has been shown to impact the case studies positively. Although its main disadvantage results in the high costs of the initial investment of the projects.

The main objective of the work is to propose a complete value chain for the potential use of green  $H_2$ produced from renewable energy (solar) in the electric and heat demand of a port in Chile. The dimensioning of the different technologies associated with green hydrogen allows for establishing the starting conditions for future scenarios in its national use. Furthermore, the originality and novelty lie in the object of study for a country whose future challenge is achieving energy independence in the global context and using simulation methodologies with different scenarios that allow the optimization of the energy system. In addition, obtain knowledge based on science and applied it to the local industry to develop the value chain of green hydrogen. In general, the results allow detailed information about the dependencies of a consumer and the renewable energy supplier through open-source models that predict the demand and available resources and the influence in the value chain for green  $H_2$ .

Finally, this study evaluates the consequences, effects, and short-term challenges for the final use of green  $H_2$  in port operations, contributing to the country's energy transition to face climate change.

### 2. METHODOLOGY

The methodology consists of four essential steps. The first step is to identify the final consumers' energy demand according to their sectors of activity, such as electricity and heat. Secondly, the on-site renewable electricity generation was calculated from PV power energy based on the calculation tools of renewables.ninja for RES sources [20,21]. Third, a Proton Exchange Membrane Electrolyzer (PEM) for H<sub>2</sub> production  $(kg_{H2})$  was defined due to its operational flexibility and the costs of such technology at the country level. Finally, the alternatives consider the direct consumption of the green H<sub>2</sub> in a Fuel Cell (FC) in which the generation of electrical energy and the residual heat produced with this equipment is used. The electric energy was used to cobber the final demand of the port operations and residual heat to produce hot water. Figure 1 shows the referential scheme of a value chain for the green H<sub>2</sub>.

### 2.1 Input Data and Calculation Tools

Due to a Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA) established between the collaborating entities, it is impossible to mention the installation studied explicitly. Therefore, the load demanded by the total end consumers of the green  $H_2$  within the study port was

established generically, which can be extended if necessary.

The calculation was developed using the Calliope modeling framework. Calliope is an open simulation tool for simulating energy systems and optimizing different energy carriers [22]. In general terms, the proposal is to determine the sizing of the systems that make up the electric power generation, electrolyzer (ELZ), and FC for a green H<sub>2</sub> facility necessary to provide a stable amount of electric energy of 1 MWh<sub>e</sub> (megawatt hour electric) and 0.10 MWh<sub>t</sub> (megawatt hour thermal) at all times.

According to the demand to be supplied, the study proposes two scenarios determined by the base indicator for PV generation (IBA.PV). This indicator (IB: MWh/MW<sub>peak</sub>) is valid to determine different sizes of photovoltaic plants in different scenarios from the total electric power generation per year based on 1 MW<sub>peak</sub>. Furthermore, the months of highest (IBMax.PV) and lowest (IBMin.PV) generation allow estimating different plant configurations in the assessment to produce a 1 MWh<sub>e</sub>.

- Scenario 1: Month with the minimum indicator of power generation from the photovoltaic system: IBMin.PV without and with electric and thermal storage.
- Scenario 2: Month with the maximum power generation indicator from the photovoltaic system: IBMax.PV without and with electric and thermal storage.

Various solutions have been determined to achieve 1 MWh<sub>e</sub> from an FC and compare it with the same energy produced from a Diesel Generator (DG). Also, power and heat were generated with the FC technologies. The use of FC as a Combined Heat and Power (CHP) technology has been worked on by other authors and allows for minimizing CAPEX by increasing the overall efficiency of the H<sub>2</sub> installation [23–25]. The data used in this study came from various sources [26,27] and are shown in Table 1.

PV System						
Parameter	Value	Unit				
Installed Capacity	4	MW				
Loss factor	14	%				
Investor Efficiency	0.96	-				
Cost of Investment in PV plant	750	USD\$/kW				

Table 1. Technical and economic input data for the



Fig. 1 Scheme of a simplified value chain for the end-use of green  $H_2$ 

Continuation Table 1.

H <sub>2</sub> System						
Parameter	Value	Unit				
Cost of O&M of	75					
ELZ and FC	7.5	υουογκνν				
Life Time for PV	25	vear				
Plant	25	year				
Fuel cell						
efficiency	0.50	-				
$(\eta_{FC} = \frac{E_{out,FC}}{E_{in,FC}})$						
Electrolyzer						
efficiency	0.65					
$(\eta_{ELZ} =$	0.65	-				
$\frac{E_{out,ELZ}}{E}$ )						
Ein,ELZ						
	22.2	k)M/b/kg				
	55.5	K VV I / KgH2				
Cost of						
Investment for	1600					
FL7 and FC	1000	0303/ 800				
Cost of O&M						
for ELZ and EC	80	USD\$/kW				
ELZ: H <sub>2</sub>		_				
production in LT	750,075	kg <sub>H2</sub>				
FC: Electric						
Power	12,500	MWh				
Production in LT						
Prorate FC	16	USD\$/MWh				
Prorate ELZ	0.82	USD\$/kg <sub>H2</sub>				
Prorate $ELZ_{e\alpha} =$						
(Prorate	0.02	USD\$/kWh <sub>ea,H2</sub>				
ELZ/LHV <sub>H2</sub> )						

Continuation Table 1.
-----------------------

DG System					
Parameter	Value	Unit			
Prorate PV	10 00				
(June)	10.95	ואואואליסנט			
Hours of					
Operation per	o	h			
day for ELZ and	0	11			
FC					
Efficiency					
$(\eta_{DG} = \frac{E_{out,DG}}{E_{in,DG}})$	0.38	-			
<b>Diesel Density</b>	846	kg/m <sup>3</sup>			
Higher Heating					
Value of Diesel	12.67	kWh/kg <sub>D</sub>			
(HHV <sub>D</sub> )					
Cost of Diesel	1	USD\$/litter			

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The authors [28] have identified the conventional energy-consuming equipment that can be replaced by energy from  $H_2$ , mainly the mobility and static applications. It is known that not all ports have the same purpose and energy consumers. Nevertheless, the results in proposing a value chain for the end-use of green  $H_2$  in similar facilities are replicable despite these differences and scalable in many cases.

As a result of the evaluation to meet the demand of  $1 \text{ MWh}_e$  and  $0.10 \text{ MWh}_t$ , Figure 2 shows the study port's electric and thermal demand curves. The electrical demand is satisfied for scenario 2 of maximum availability of daily PV energy. However, in scenario 1 the FC cannot supply the demanded electrical energy. Therefore, in scenario 1 a part of the electrical energy is supplied for the grid. In both scenarios, the energy generated is not stored because PV energy is highly available. The thermal demand in Figure 3 shows that there is always an excess for both scenarios. In this case,

including storage, this energy could be delivered as district heating energy. In addition, the annualized base indicator (IBA.PV) for the scenarios was:

- Scenario 1: IBMin.PV = 2.67 MWh/MW<sub>peak</sub>;
- Scenario 2: IBMax.PV = 5.60 MWh/MW<sub>peak</sub>;



Fig. 2 Electric energy generated in both scenarios



Fig. 3 Thermal energy generated in both scenarios with the storage

Therefore, to generate 1 MWh<sub>e</sub> have used the equations raised by [29], the FC input is required the quantity of 60 kg<sub>H2</sub>. The electrical energy that was supplied to the electrolyzer was 3.1 MWh<sub>e</sub>. Based on these results, the two scenarios were determined that the photovoltaic plant produces the minimum energy necessary to generate 3.1 MWh<sub>e</sub> in one day of operation.

The price of energy parity (USD $/MWh_e$ ) produced by the DG and FC was determined for 1 L of diesel equal

to 1 USD\$. Then, the cost of generating 1 MWh<sub>e</sub> of energy using FC is determined to be USD\$245. As the quantity of green H<sub>2</sub> required is 60 kg<sub>H2</sub> to generate 1 MWh<sub>e</sub>, the cost of 1 kg<sub>H2</sub> was up 4.1 times higher than the cost of 1 L of diesel. Therefore, the different scenarios and the calculated data, the respective green H<sub>2</sub> production was:

nt

scenarios					
Scenarios	Power from the PV Plant [MW <sub>peak</sub> ]	June [kg <sub>H2</sub> /day]	December [kg <sub>H2</sub> /day]		
(1)	1.15	60	126		
(2)	0.55	29	60		

## 3.1 Levelized Cost of Energy

The operation parameters and costs associated with green hydrogen value chain technologies were estimated based on the *LCOE*. Assumptions of operation and system costs were made according to [13,30]. Therefore, according to the data shown in Table 1, the *LCOE* calculation in Calliope was performed in Figure 4.



Fig. 4 LCOE for the scenarios stuied

Finally, Figure 5 represents a referential scheme of the proposed complete value chain for a final supply of the electric and heat demand (the position of these systems in the figure is representative).



Fig. 5 Final chain value for green  $H_2$  used in the port application

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

The work presents a value chain for green  $H_2$  in a port installation that includes electricity generation using photovoltaic solar energy, electrolyzers for  $H_2$ production, and fuel cells to generate the electricity required. Studying determined potential green  $H_2$ consumers, most analyzed have a diesel fuel consumption. Therefore, the system must supply approximately 60 kg<sub>H2</sub> in the fuel cell with a 50% electrical and heat demand efficiency. This requirement was covered by a 65% efficient electrolyzer whose energy consumption is 3.1 MWh<sub>e</sub>, which a photovoltaic solar plant supplied.

In both scenarios, thermal energy can be stored for later use. As for the electrical energy in scenario 1, there must always be a supply from the electrical network. The scenario 2, grid power is only needed when no PV power is available. The photovoltaic plant was sized in both scenarios, the month with the minimum power generation (June) of 2.67 MWh/MW<sub>peak</sub> and the month with the maximum generation (December) of 5.60 MWh/MW<sub>peak</sub>.

With the parity of the energy price produced by the diesel generator and the fuel cell, it was obtained that the cost of  $1 \text{ kg}_{\text{H2}}$  could be up to 4.1 times higher than the cost of 1 L of diesel. The Levelized Cost of Energy for green H<sub>2</sub> shows that scenario 2 has lower values for all carries than scenario 1. This study shows that in the short term, part of the green H<sub>2</sub> produced in-situ using photovoltaic solar energy could be implemented in port applications through a complete value chain.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The researchers gratefully acknowledge the ANID ACT210050 fund for the financial support of this study. In addition, the School of Mechanical Engineering at the Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso (PUCV) and the Universidad de Santiago de Chile (USACH) for their support during the execution of this research.

## REFERENCE

- [1] Balance nacional de energía Energía Abierta | Comisión Nacional de Energía 2022. http://energiaabierta.cl/visualizaciones/balancede-energia/ (accessed May 10, 2022).
- [2] Estrategia nacional de hidrógeno verde | Ministerio de Energía n.d. https://energia.gob.cl/h2/Estrategia-nacional-dehidrogeno-verde (accessed January 25, 2021).
- [3] Chehade Z, Mansilla C, Lucchese P, Hilliard S, Proost J. Review and analysis of demonstration projects on power-to-X pathways in the world. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 2019;44:27637–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.08.260.
- [4] Götz M, Lefebvre J, Mörs F, McDaniel Koch A, Graf F, Bajohr S, et al. Renewable Power-to-Gas: A technological and economic review. Renewable Energy 2016;85:1371–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.07.066.
- [5] Bourne S. The future of fuel: The future of hydrogen. Fuel Cells Bulletin 2012;2012:12–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1464-2859(12)70027-5.
- [6] Reuß M, Grube T, Robinius M, Preuster P, Wasserscheid P, Stolten D. Seasonal storage and alternative carriers: A flexible hydrogen supply chain model. Applied Energy 2017;200:290–302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.05.050.
- [7] Chapman AJ, Fraser T, Itaoka K. Hydrogen import pathway comparison framework incorporating cost and social preference: Case studies from Australia to Japan. Int J Energy Res 2017;41:2374–91. https://doi.org/10.1002/er.3807.
- [8] Al-Sharafi A, Sahin AZ, Ayar T, Yilbas BS. Technoeconomic analysis and optimization of solar and wind energy systems for power generation and hydrogen production in Saudi Arabia. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 2017;69:33–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.11.157.

- [9] Noussan M, Raimondi PP, Scita R, Hafner M. The Role of Green and Blue Hydrogen in the Energy Transition—A Technological and Geopolitical Perspective. Sustainability 2020;13:298. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13010298.
- [10] Palmer G. Australia's Hydrogen Future 2018.
- [11] Ghaib K, Ben-Fares F-Z. Power-to-Methane: A stateof-the-art review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 2018;81:433–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.08.004.
- [12] Dawood F, Anda M, Shafiullah GM. Hydrogen production for energy: An overview. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 2020;45:3847–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.12.059.
- [13] Masip Macía Y, Rodríguez Machuca P, Rodríguez Soto AA, Carmona Campos R. Green hydrogen value chain in the sustainability for port operations: Case study in the region of valparaiso, Chile. Sustainability (Switzerland) 2021;13. https://doi.org/10.3390/su132413681.
- [14] Yoshino Y, Harada E, Inoue K, Yoshimura K, Yamashita S, Hakamada K. Feasibility Study of "CO2 Free Hydrogen Chain" Utilizing Australian Brown Coal Linked with CCS. Energy Procedia 2012;29:701– 9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2012.09.082.
- [15] Ayodele TR, Munda JL. Potential and economic viability of green hydrogen production by water electrolysis using wind energy resources in South Africa. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 2019;44:17669–87.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.05.077.

[16] Abdalla AM, Hossain S, Nisfindy OB, Azad AT, Dawood M, Azad AK. Hydrogen production, storage, transportation and key challenges with applications: A review. Energy Conversion and Management 2018;165:602–27.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2018.03.088.

[17] Armijo J, Philibert C. Flexible production of green hydrogen and ammonia from variable solar and wind energy: Case study of Chile and Argentina. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 2020;45:1541–58.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.11.028.

[18] Belmonte N, Luetto C, Staulo S, Rizzi P, Baricco M. Case Studies of Energy Storage with Fuel Cells and Batteries for Stationary and Mobile Applications. Challenges 2017;8:9. https://doi.org/10.3390/challe8010009.

[19] Ghenai C, Bettayeb M, Brdjanin B, Hamid AK. Hybrid solar PV/PEM fuel Cell/Diesel Generator power system for cruise ship: A case study in Stockholm, Sweden. Case Studies in Thermal Engineering 2019;14:100497.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csite.2019.100497.

- [20] Bauer R, Schopf D, Klaus G, Brotsack R, Valdes J.
  Energy Cell Simulation for Sector Coupling with Power-to-Methane: A Case Study in Lower Bavaria.
   Energies 2022;15:2640.
   https://doi.org/10.3390/en15072640.
- [21] Staffell I, Pfenninger S. Using bias-corrected reanalysis to simulate current and future wind power output. Energy 2016;114:1224–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2016.08.068.
- [22] Pfenninger S, Pickering B. Calliope: a multi-scale energy systems modelling framework. JOSS 2018;3:825. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00825.
- [23] Hwang JJ, Zou ML, Chang WR, Su A, Weng FB, Wu W. Implementation of a heat recovery unit in a proton exchange membrane fuel cell system. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 2010;35:8644–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2010.05.007.
- [24] Contreras Bilbao D. Valorization of the waste heat given off in a system alkaline electrolyzerphotovoltaic array to improve hydrogen production performance: Case study Antofagasta, Chile. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 2021;46:31108–21.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.07.016.

- [25] Oro MV, de Oliveira RG, Bazzo E. An integrated solution for waste heat recovery from fuel cells applied to adsorption systems. Applied Thermal Engineering 2018;136:747–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2018.01. 081.
- [26] Vásquez R, Salinas F. Tecnologías del Hidrógeno y perspectivas para Chile 2018.
- [27] Wheeler KR. Efficient Operation of Diesel Generator Sets in Remote Environments. Master of Science In Mechanical Engineering. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 2017.
- [28] California Hydrogen Business Council. Hydrogen and fuel cells in the Ports workshop report 2017.
- [29] Barbir F. PEM fuel cells: theory and practice. Academic press; 2012.
- [30] Gallardo FI, Monforti Ferrario A, Lamagna M, Bocci E, Astiaso Garcia D, Baeza-Jeria TE. A Techno-Economic Analysis of solar hydrogen production by electrolysis in the north of Chile and the case of exportation from Atacama Desert to Japan. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.07.050.